```
1
      SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
      COUNTY OF RICHMOND - CRIMINAL TERM - PART: 5
 2
      THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
 3
                      -against-
 4
      ANTHONY RUCANO,
 5
                                      Defendant.
                                        ----X
 6
      Indict. No. 270/2009
                                      18 Richmond Terrace
 7
                                      Staten Island, New York
                                      September 9, 2010
 8
 9
      BEFORE:
10
           HONORABLE STEPHEN J. ROONEY,
11
                           Justice, and a jury.
12
            (Appearances same as previously noted.)
13
                           ELAINE FORLENZA, RPR
                           OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
14
15
16
                         THE CLERK: Case on trial, People versus
17
                Rucano. All parties entered the well.
18
                         THE COURT: Are all the five sworn jurors
19
                present?
20
                         THE CLERK: Yes. Same appearances as
21
               yesterday.
22
                         (Discussion held off the record at the
23
               bench.)
24
                        THE CLERK: Defendant's present. The
25
               attorneys are present.
```

1	THE COURT: I am told that Juror Number 4
2	is feeling ill. She's here. She went to the
3	doctor this morning; is that right?
4	THE CLERK: Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: Some kind of stomach virus.
6	She saw a doctor but she is here. You want me to
7	bring her in?
8	What's going on?
9	MR. LAMB: I'm sorry, I have asked for
10	the record the defendant's wife was just added as
11	a possible witness to the witness list yesterday
12	afternoon. She is in the courtroom.
13	I have not had an opportunity to explain
14	to her as a witness she can no longer remain in
15	the courtroom. The defendant was just giving her
16	some lunch money and I was passing that to her if
17	I could have one second.
18	(Short pause.)
19	THE COURT: You want me to bring in
20	Number 4?
21	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Lamb, shall I bring her
23	in?
24	MR. LAMB: Sure.
25	THE COURT: Bring in Number 4. See how

1	she is feeling.
2	(Short pause.)
3	THE COURT OFFICER: Ready, your Honor?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	(Juror Number 4 entered courtroom.)
6	THE COURT: This is Ms. Rippa, right?
7	You could just stop right there. I was asking
8	I am told you weren't feeling well.
9	JUROR NO. 4: Much better.
10	THE COURT: If you feel ill and you want
11	to go home.
12	JUROR NO. 4: Just a few times when I got
13	up I had a feeling a little bit nauseous.
14	THE COURT: Are you okay now?
15	JUROR NO. 4: So far okay. I had some
16	tea so maybe it was something. So far so good.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. I will let you go
18	back to the jury room. We are going to bring the
19	other panel members over and then we'll bring the
20	sworn jurors in and resume.
21 ,	JUROR NO. 4: Thank you very much.
22	THE COURT: Okay, get the panel over and
23	we are going to have to seat the sworn jurors
24	separate.
25	THE CLERK: Sergeant, there are 39

1	prospective jurors across the street.
2	THE COURT: Bring them over please.
3	(Pause in proceedings.)
4	THE COURT: The sworn jurors have been in
5	there for over an hour. They want a break. When
6	they're finished with their break, we'll start up.
7	(Pause in proceedings.)
8	THE CLERK: Prospective panel entering.
9	COURT OFFICER: Are you ready for the
10	sworn jurors?
11	THE CLERK: Yes.
12	(Sworn jurors entered.)
13	THE CLERK: Case on trial continues. Do
14	both sides stipulate the presence of the first
15	five sworn jurors are present in the gallery front
16	row in order? People?
17	MR. KATCHEN: So stipulated.
18	THE CLERK: Defense?
19	MR. LAMB: So stipulated.
20	THE COURT: Good morning, welcome back. I
21	want to apologize for this delay. It had to do
22	with other cases on my calendar. It is my fault.
23	I miscalculated. But I want to stress it is not
24	the fault of the parties in this case that is the
25	delay. So don't hold it against them. It is my
j	

1	fault. I apologize and thank you for your
2	patience.
3	So when you hear your name called, step
4	up, have a seat where directed and we'll resume
5	selection.
6	THE CLERK: Seat Number 1, step up
7	Renata Basman. B A S M A N.
8	Seat two, Janice Longo. L O N G O.
9	Seat three, Rita Delapena.
10	DELAPENA.
11	Seat four, Anthony Dambrosio.
12	DAMBROSIO.
13	Five, Thomas Mani. M A N I.
14	Six, Sandra Valenta. V A L E N T A.
15	Seven, Theodore Horlevein.
16	HORLEVEIN.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's Valenti with an
18	i.VALENTI.
19	THE COURT: He did say A.
20	Seat eight, Edmund Orlando.
21	ORLANDO.
22	Seat nine, Jennifer Ruzicka.
23	RUZICKA.
24	Ten, Linda Villafana. V I L L A F A N A.
25	Villafana. That's seat ten.
1	I

1	Seat eleven, Daniel Donadio.
2	DONADIO.
3	Twelve, Andrew Guido. G U I D O.
4	Thirteen, Stanley Wojnowski.
5	WOJNOWSKI.
6	Fourteen, Richard Derleth. D E R L E T H.
7	Derleth.
8	Fifteen, Patricia Totaram.
9	TOTARAM.
10	Sixteen, Lucille Fuchs. F U C H S.
11	Sixteen prospective jurors seated.
12	THE COURT: Okay. My questions don't
13	change so things usually go a little quicker the
14	second time through.
15	Do any of you know the lawyers or the
16	defendant?
17	Yes, sir. Mr. Dambrosio.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. In the
19	courtroom there was an attorney Manny Ortega. He
20	is my next door neighbor, good friend. I thought
21	I would have to mention that.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Ortega has nothing to do
23	with this particular case. Is there anything
24	about your friendship with Mr. Ortega that would
25	prevent you from being a fair juror?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Do any of you know the
3	lawyers or the defendant? I got no answer on
4	that.
5	I read a list of potential witnesses
6	yesterday. I will read it again if anybody wants
7	me to. The question is did you recognize any of
8	the names? Anybody want to hear the names read
9	again? Nobody. Okay.
10	Do any of you think you know anything
11	about this case apart from what you've heard here
12	yesterday?
13	Are any of you or anyone close to you,
14	again what I mean by that is close friends or
15	relatives involved in law enforcement in any
16	capacity?
17	I will just skip around here.
18	Ms. Ruzicka.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My brother was a
20	police officer. My uncle as well. My two
21	neighbors and a good friend.
22	THE COURT: You know the question. Is
23	there anything about these relationships,
24	friendships that would prevent you in terms of
25	your ability to be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd have to say, yes.
THE COURT: Based on discussions I guess
you've had with them about what they do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Um-hum.
MR. LAMB: I'm sorry. The answer was
yes?
THE COURT: She thinks it might affect
her ability to be fair. You could pursue that if
you want to.
Anyone else on this question?
Ms. Delapena.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My father was a
police officer and my brother was a police
officer.
THE COURT: Same question. Anything
about that that would affect you in terms of your
ability to be fair?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Mr. Dambrosio.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My cousin is a police
officer and father-in-law is a retired police
officer.
THE COURT: Is that going to have any

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
2	THE COURT: Anyone else in first row?
3	Ms. Valenti.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Two cousins that are
5	cops and a detective.
6	THE COURT: Is that going to have any
7	bearing here in terms of your ability to be a fair
8	juror?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Horlevein?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My son police
12	officer.
13	THE COURT: NYPD?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Is that going to affect your
16	ability to be fair and impartial?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
18	THE COURT: Is that it in the first row?
19	I will go to the back row.
20	Ms. Fuchs.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a cousin
22	that's a court officer in Long Island.
23	THE COURT: You know if he works or she
24	he or she works in criminal term or civil term?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am not sure.

1	THE COURT: You are not sure. Is there
2	anything about that that would affect your ability
3	to be fair or impartial?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: Other hands?
6	Mr. Derleth.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am a New York City
8	Correction Officer.
9	THE COURT: You are. How long have you
10	been a correction officer?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 28 years.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Are you working in a
13	City facility?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Riker's Island.
15	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
16	job, your background, your training, your
17	experience that would prevent you from being a
18	fair juror in a criminal case, fair to both sides?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe so.
20	THE COURT: You think it would?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
22	THE COURT: I will let the lawyers pursue
23	that if they want to.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
25	THE COURT: Anyone else?

1	Mr. Guido.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Aunt and best friend
3	cops, NYPD.
4	THE COURT: Same question. Would that
5	have any affect here?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Ms. Longo.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My son's best friend
9	is a District Attorney.
10	THE COURT: In Richmond County?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Here in Staten Island?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
14	THE COURT: May I ask this person's name?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
16	THE COURT: You know the last name?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Curiale.
18	THE COURT: Jeff Curiale. Do you know
19	him personally?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
22	that would affect your ability to be fair and
23	impartial?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
25	THE COURT: He works for the same
i	

1	District Attorney's Office as these two who are
2	sitting here. Knowing that would that have any
3	bearing on your ability to be fair and impartial?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am not sure.
5	THE COURT: You are not sure. I will let
6	the lawyers talk to you about that if they want.
7	THE COURT: Any other hands?
8	Mr. Donadio.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I am a police
10	officer.
11	THE COURT: How long have you been a
12	police officer?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Four years.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Police officers are no
15	longer exempt from jury duty. Nobody is anymore.
16	I have been called for jury duty. Nobody is
17	exempt anymore so you are disqualified. But the
18	question is if you were selected as a juror do you
19	think you could be fair and impartial?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely.
21	THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else?
22	Are any of you or anyone close to you
23	involved in the legal field in any way as a
24	lawyer, paralegal, employee of a law firm or
25	prosecutor's office? Anything of that sort?
ı	

1	Have any of you or anyone close to your
2	knowledge ever been the victim of a crime?
3	Ms. Delapena, could you tell me a little
4	bit about it?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Very close friend's
6	mother was raped in her own house.
7	THE COURT: How long ago was this?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think about 16, 17
9	years ago.
10	THE COURT: Was anybody arrested in
11	connection with that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Did you go to any court
14	proceedings?
<b>1</b> 5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
16	THE COURT: Keeping in mind the nature of
17	the charges in this case do you think that would
18	affect your ability to be fair?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am not really sure.
20	I think not. I couldn't really say for sure.
21	THE COURT: Other hands on this, crime
22	victim?
23	Mr. Dambrosio.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My sister was held up
25	at gunpoint while she worked at a register at a

1	grocery store. My father was brutally mugged
2	pretty bad and my mom was date raped when she
3	was 16.
4	THE COURT: How long ago were these
5	incidents approximately?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It would have been
7	when I was a teenager with my sister and my dad.
8	My mom was 16 at the time but she had told us the
9	story what happened.
10	THE COURT: Was anybody arrested in
11	connection with any of these incidents?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. But my mom, it
13	happened to another young lady and he was
14	eventually arrested for the same type of crime.
15	THE COURT: Did you go to any court
16	proceedings?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18	THE COURT: Anything about these events
19	individually or collectively that would prevent
20	you from being a fair juror in this case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It might.
22	THE COURT: It might.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, hearing what we
24	heard yesterday.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Other hands on this,

1	crime victims?
2	Ms. Longo.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My niece was attacked
4	by her boyfriend.
5	THE COURT: Attacked?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: By her boyfriend.
7	THE COURT: How long ago was this?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 23 years ago.
9	THE COURT: Was he arrested?
10	MR. LAMB: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Did you go to any court
12	proceedings?
<b>1</b> 3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Once.
14	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
15	event that would have any bearing here on your
16	ability to be fair?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	Other hands. Mr. Donadio.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have an uncle that
21	was murdered.
22	THE COURT: Pardon?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have an uncle that
24	was murdered.
25	THE COURT: How long ago was that?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About twenty years
2	ago.
3	THE COURT: Was anybody arrested?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Did you go to any court
6	proceedings?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A few.
8	THE COURT: Anything about that event
9	that would have any bearing here in terms of your
10	ability to be fair as a juror in this case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	Anyone else? Ms. Villafana.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. My niece was a
<b>1</b> 5	victim of domestic abuse.
16	THE COURT: How long ago was this?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Five years.
18	THE COURT: Was there an arrest made in
19	that case?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Did you go to any court
22	proceedings?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24	THE COURT: Anything about that event
25	that would have any bearing in terms of your
I	

	·
1	ability to be fair?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so.
3	THE COURT: Anyone else on this question?
4	Crime victims? I will remind you we can talk
5	privately about anything.
6	The question is have you or anyone close
7	to you ever been a defendant in any kind of a
8	criminal proceeding? Nobody on this.
9	Ms. Villafana, you want to talk privately
10	about that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My brother was
12	arrested and convicted.
13	THE COURT: Arrested?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Burglary.
15	THE COURT: How long ago was this?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Maybe 25 years ago.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Did you go to any
18	court proceedings?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
21	event or experience that would have any bearing
22	here in terms of your ability to be fair?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably not.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	Other hands. Mr. Guido.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My brother was
2	arrested several years ago for prescription drugs.
3	THE COURT: Did you go to any court
4	Richmond County?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Anything about that's going
7	to have any affect on your ability to be fair?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Anyone else?
10	Ms. Totaram.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Can I speak privately
12	please?
13	THE COURT: Sure. We'll talk a little
14	later. Thank you.
15	Anyone else?
16	Have any of you ever been a witness in
17	any kind of a courtroom proceeding or Grand Jury
18	proceeding?
19	Have any of you ever been a litigant,
20	that is a plaintiff or a defendant in any kind of
21	a civil lawsuit, civil action?
22	Mr. Guido.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was sued earlier
24	this year. An accident. It was in Brooklyn
25	dismissed.
	1

1	THE COURT: It's over with now?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Anything about that
4	litigation that would have any bearing here?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: Anyone else?
7	Mr. Dambrosio.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was a plaintiff
9	workmen compensation case. That's over with.
10	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Couple of years ago.
12	THE COURT: Anything about that
13	experience that would have any bearing here?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	Anyone else? Ms. Fuchs.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was a witness to
18	I'm a nurse and one of my patients was killed.
19	She was a baby four years old was killed by her
20	parents and I was called in to testify.
21	THE COURT: Was that a criminal matter?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23	THE COURT: It was. How long ago was
24	this?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Maybe six, seven
j	

-	
1	years ago.
2	THE COURT: Was that here in Richmond
3	County?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was right in this
5	courtroom.
6	THE COURT: In this courtroom?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
9	experience you had as a witness that would prevent
10	you from being a fair juror?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	Anyone else?
14	Has anyone ever served on a jury before?
15	Mr. Orlando.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Right here in
17	this courtroom actually.
18	THE COURT: How long ago?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About seven years
20	ago.
21	THE COURT: Right here in this courtroom?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23	THE COURT: I was the judge?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
25	THE COURT: I was better looking and I

1	had darker hair. Is there anything about that
2	experience as a juror that would prevent you from
3	being able to sit as a juror in this one?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
5	THE COURT: Other hands on this?
6	Ms. Delapena.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I served on the Grand
8	Jury and also on a civil case.
9	THE COURT: Grand Jury and civil case.
10	Did the jury reach a verdict on the civil case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They settled before
12	we could.
13	THE COURT: Anything about those
14	experiences that would prevent you from sitting as
15	a trial juror in this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	Other hands. Prior jury experience?
19	Mr. Wojnowski.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I served on a
21	criminal case, Grand Jury and civil case.
22	THE COURT: How long ago?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Anywhere from about
24	30 to 10 years ago.
25	THE COURT: Don't tell me how it ended up

1	but did the jury reach a verdict?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
3	THE COURT: If you were selected as a
4	juror in this case could you assure me and the
5	lawyers that you would keep out of your mind any
6	law you may have gotten in the Grand Jury and
7	apply the law as I give it to the jury in this
8	case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so.
10	THE COURT: Thanks.
11	Anyone else?
12	Ms. Fuchs, when?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was about six,
14	seven years ago, across the street in a civil
15	case.
16	THE COURT: Did the jury reach a verdict?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Two days later they
18	settled out of court.
19	THE COURT: Anything about that
20	experience that would prevent you from being able
21	to sit here in this case?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Orlando.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Civil case and we
25	reached a verdict.
J	

1	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About maybe six years
3	ago.
4	THE COURT: Was there anything about that
5	experience that would prevent you from being able
6	to sit in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
8	THE COURT: Anyone else? Anybody with
9	any Grand Jury experience? Anyone else with any
10	Grand Jury experience?
11	Okay. Ms. Basman, are you employed?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	THE COURT: What type of work do you do?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Computer programmer.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Longo?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sales associate.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Delapena?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Letter carrier.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Dambrosio?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sales.
21	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Mani?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Drive a bus.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Valenti?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Manager of a
25	restaurant.
9	

1	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Horlevein?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Engineer.
3	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Orlando?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Emergency
5	acquisitions for a private bank.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Fuchs?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am a registered
8	nurse.
9	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Totaram.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Operations manager in
11	retail.
12	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Derleth?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correction officer.
14	THE COURT: Right, you told me. Thanks.
15	Mr. Wojnowski?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Engineer.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Guido?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Court analyst.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Donadio?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Police officer.
21	THE COURT: Right. You told me. Thanks.
22	Ms. Villafana?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Bookkeeping.
24	THE COURT: Thank you. And Ms. Ruzicka.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Advertising manager.

THE COURT: Thank you for that information. I am going to finish up with these principles of law that I discussed with the panel yesterday.

Just to remind you the idea here is to find out if you could promise us if you will

that apply in all criminal cases.

As you now know if you didn't before an indictment is not evidence. The mere fact a defendant has been indicted is evidence of nothing and in particular it's not evidence of guilt. Should you be chosen to serve as a juror in this case, the law requires that you should decide the case wholly and solely on the evidence. And since it is our rule of law an indictment is evidence of nothing, I ask if you can assure us that you can follow and accept that principle in our law. Is

follow and accept these fundamental principles

If called upon -- if selected as a juror you would be called upon to deliberate at the end of the trial in an effort to reach a final unanimous verdict or verdicts. Those of you who served as jurors before know what this involves. You will be going to the jury room to discuss the

there anyone who cannot give us that assurance?

1	case with eleven other people in an effort to
2	reason together arriving at a final unanimous
3	verdict or verdicts.
4	Do any of you feel you could not
5	deliberate with eleven other people if called upon
6	to do so?
7	Under our law everyone accused of a crime
8	if brought to trial is presumed innocent unless or
9	until he was proved guilty beyond a reasonable
10	doubt. The defendant in this case is presumed
11	innocent and to put this another way a defendant
12	is never required to prove his innocence. On the
13	contrary, the People represented by the District
14	Attorney, having accused the defendant of the
15	crimes charged, have the burden of proving him
16	guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
17	The People carry this burden of proof
18	throughout the trial. It never shifts. It always
19	remains on the People and the presumption of
20	innocence remains with every defendant throughout
21	every criminal trial.
22	Is there anyone amongst you that could
23	now in your own mind grant the defendant this
24	presumption of innocence?
25	As I said in a criminal case the burden

1 of proof is entirely on the People and remains on 2 them throughout the trial. A defendant is not 3 required to prove anything nor is a defendant required to disprove anything. As I say the 5 entire burden of proof is on the People and 6 remains on them throughout the trial. 7 Is there anyone amongst you who cannot 8 accept this rule in our criminal law? 9 If a defendant does not testify in a 10 criminal trial that is not a factor from which any 11 inference unfavorable to such defendant may be 12 drawn. 13 Is there anybody who cannot accept this 14 fundamental rule in our criminal law? 15 Okav. I defined yesterday the standard 16 of proof required for a conviction in every 17 criminal case. That standard as you now know if 18 you before is called proof of guilt beyond a 19 reasonable doubt. Did you all hear that 20 definition when I gave it yesterday? I am happy 21 to read it again if anyone wants. I get no 22 takers. Keep that definition in mind. 23 Let me remind you that it is the duty of 24 each juror carefully to review, weigh and consider 25 all the evidence. If after doing so you find that

the People have not proved the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt as I have defined the term, then you must find the defendant not guilty. On the other hand, if you are satisfied that the People have proved the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt as I have defined the term, then you must find the defendant guilty.

Will you promise us that if selected as a juror in this case you will in your final deliberations follow and apply this standard of proof that's required here, that is proof beyond a reasonable doubt? Is there anybody who cannot make us that promise?

Jury is not permitted to consider the subject of punishment. If there is to be any sentencing after a verdict that's for the Court and the Court alone to deal with. The jury has no role to play in that and the jury must not discuss sentencing or punishment in their deliberations.

Will you promise us that if you are selected as a juror in this case you will render your verdict free from fear, favor or sympathy and without considering any possibility of sentencing or punishment? Is there anybody who cannot make

2.

1	us that promise?
2	And, lastly, you know from the witness
3	list I read earlier that police officers will
4	testify in this case. They take the same oath as
5	anyone else. The mere fact that a witness is a
6	police officer does not make that witness anymore
7	or any less credible.
8	Is there anyone amongst you who has any
9	feelings about the police or who have had any
10	experiences with the police which would lead you
11	in your judgment to give a police officer's
12	testimony greater or lesser weight than anyone
13	else's merely because of the officer's occupation?
14	Does anybody feel that way one way or the
15	other?
16	Mr. Donadio, could you evaluate a police
17	witness as you would anyone else?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely.
19	THE COURT: I am going to step to the
20	side and talk to Ms. Totaram for a moment and then
21	I will let the lawyers speak to you.
22	(The following took place at the
23	sidebar:)
24	MR. LAMB: I believe the defendant wants
25	to come over.

THE COURT: You can step next to your
lawyer.
THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: We are at a sidebar with the
lawyers, the defendant and Ms. Totaram. What were
you going to talk about?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My
THE COURT: Take your time.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My mother died. My
father is very abusive. So he was charged with
manslaughter and my child who saw my stepmother
being abused, I don't want to be part of this
case.
THE COURT: You don't think you could be
a fair juror in this case.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
MR. LAMB: Consent.
THE COURT: Consent People?
MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
THE COURT: We are going to excuse you,
Ms. Totaram. You can go back across the street
and you can talk to the clerk over there.
(The following took place in open court:)
MS. RAJESWARI: Good morning, ladies and
gentlemen of the jury. Welcome back. By now you

1	already heard from Mr. Katchen. As you know
2	Anthony Katchen and myself Raja Rajeswari,
3	together we represent the People of the State of
4	New York. We are going to be presenting the case
5	to you.
6	The purpose again as Mr. Lamb and
7	Mr. Katchen told you is not to pry or embarrass
8	you. It is to make sure we have twelve fair
9	jurors, fair to the People and to the defense, and
10	who are going to be fair and impartial and well
11	suited to sit in this case. By now I think you
12	know pretty much the questions that are coming but
13	I couldn't hear very well.
14	Ms. Villafana, what do you do for a
15	living?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Bookkeeper.
17	MR. LAMB: I still didn't hear.
18	MS. RAJESWARI: Bookkeeper.
19	And you, Mr. Mani?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Bus maintenance.
21	MS. RAJESWARI: I am just going to ask a
22	few questions of the jurors. I am not going to
23	get to all of you.
24	Ms. Basman, are you married or single,
25	ma'am?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Married.
2	MS. RAJESWARI: Do you have any children?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, two.
4	THE COURT: What about you, sir,
5	Mr. Mani?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Married.
7	MS. RAJESWARI: And you have children?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Two children.
9	MS. RAJESWARI: What about you,
10	Ms. Valenti?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Single.
12	MS. RAJESWARI: Ms. Fuchs? Married?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Married, three
14	children.
15	MS. RAJESWARI: What about you,
16	Mr. Horlevein?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Married, one son.
18	MS. RAJESWARI: What about you,
19	Mr. Orlando?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Single, no children.
21	MS. RAJESWARI: Mr. Wojnowski?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Divorced.
23	MS. RAJESWARI: Do you have any children?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	MS. RAJESWARI: Mr. Donadio.
I	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Married, no children.
2	MS. RAJESWARI: Now by now you already
3	know what the charges are. The allegations in
4	this case are allegations of rape and various
5	other charges.
6	As Mr. Katchen had mentioned yesterday
7	will everybody here agree with me that in order
8	for us to prove rape it doesn't have to be in a
9	stranger situation? Rape could occur between two
10	people who know each other who are in a domestic
11	relationship. Can everybody agree with me?
12	What do you think, Ms. Villafana? Do you
13	think rape could occur with two people either
14	married, engaged or living together?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't understand.
16	MS. RAJESWARI: Are you having trouble
17	understanding what I am saying?
18	MR. LAMB: I am having a hard time
19	hearing.
20	MS. RAJESWARI: You have to speak up so
21	they can take it down.
22	If it's a boyfriend/girlfriend or
23	husband/wife can rape still occur if they both are
24	not strangers in that relationship? What do you
25	think? I am not in particular picking on you.

1	Just want to know your opinions. If you are well
2	suited to sit on this case.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Valenti. It shouldn't
4	occur.
5	MS. RAJESWARI: It doesn't have to be
6	strangers, that's my issue. Can the man and the
7	woman and they know each other, can it happen?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You're saying it did
9	then obviously it did.
10	MS. RAJESWARI: So you would think if
11	allegations of rape is generally strangers, is
12	that it?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know the
14	situation, the complete situation so I can't tell
15	you.
16	MS. RAJESWARI: You haven't heard any
17	evidence in the case. I understand you are all
18	getting questions in a vacuum. If I tell you
19	there was rape but the parties were known to each
20	other, does that
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: There needs to be
22	more to it then knowing each other what happened.
23	MS. RAJESWARI: I understand that but can
24	people in a relationship get even though
25	they're known to each other can she still say no?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, of course.
2	MS. RAJESWARI: Does everybody here agree
3	with Ms. Valenti?
4	What about you, sir, Mr. Horlevein?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	MS. RAJESWARI: Even if they're married
7	or engaged or living together.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The way the world is
9	today if you say no it should be no.
10	MS. RAJESWARI: Does everybody here agree
11	with both Ms. Valenti and Mr. Horlevein? Anybody
12	feel differently?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, it could be and
14	maybe very well be in the relationship because the
15	man feels he already owns the woman and he can
16	it's his right to do what he wants.
17	MS. RAJESWARI: But does she have a right
18	to say no?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, she does.
20	MS. RAJESWARI: Does everybody here agree
21	with Ms. Basman? Mr. Wojnowski?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would agree.
23	MS. RAJESWARI: You would agree with
24	that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

1	MS. RAJESWARI: What about you,
2	Mr. Donadio?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	MS. RAJESWARI: Now if you hear
5	allegations of physical and sexual abuse and if
6	you also hear that the victim of the case did not
7	immediately leave the situation or tell someone,
8	can I have your assurance that if any one of you
9	are picked as a juror you will keep an open mind
10	and listen to the reasons as to why she did that?
11	Can I have that assurance, Mr. Orlando?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	MS. RAJESWARI: And Ms. Fuchs?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15	MS. RAJESWARI: What about you,
16	Ms. Valenti?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18	MS. RAJESWARI: What about Mr. Mani,
19	would you listen to her?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
21	MS. RAJESWARI: Sir?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23	MS. RAJESWARI: What about you,
24	Mr. Donadio?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

1 MS. RAJESWARI: And you are going to hear 2 from several witnesses in the case. Justice 3 Rooney read several names. Some of them are going 4 to be experts, doctors and such. 5 When you hear expert testimony can I have 6 your assurance that you will pay attention to the 7 experts, listen to their expertise and put that 8 together with all the other witnesses who are not 9 experts, who are common or lay witnesses and 10 listen to that and come to a conclusion? Can you 11 do that for us, sir? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 13 MS. RAJESWARI: And you also will hear 14 the judge will define the law for you as to what 15 we have to do. Now the burden of proof rests with 16 the People. It never shifts. We have to prove 17 the case because we are the ones who brought the 18 charges against Mr. Rucano. 19 But the judge will tell you what the 20 burden of proof is. It's proof beyond a 21 reasonable doubt. It's not proof beyond any and 22 all doubt. It's not proof beyond a shadow of a 23 doubt. 24 So, Ms. Valenti, if I prove this case to

you with Mr. Katchen beyond a reasonable doubt

1	which is what I need to prove, are you going to
2	hold me to a higher standard because it's a rape
3	case?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Reword that please.
5	MS. RAJESWARI: I have to prove the case
6	beyond a reasonable doubt. Not beyond a shadow of
7	a doubt. So if I prove the case to you beyond a
8	reasonable doubt, are you going to hold me to a
9	higher standard just because it's a the case
10	involves allegations of rape?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am going to do what
12	I think is right. I have to give my opinion what
13	I think it should be.
14	MS. RAJESWARI: If the judge gives you a
15	standard to follow would you follow the judge's
16	instructions?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have no choice.
18	MS. RAJESWARI: You have to. You are
19	going to take an oath.
20	Does everybody agree if you are picked as
21	a juror would you follow Justice Rooney's
22	instructions on burden of proof beyond a
23	reasonable doubt and not hold the People to a
24	higher standard? Can I have that assurance?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

1	MS. RAJESWARI: What about you, sir?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I will try.
3	MS. RAJESWARI: You are going to try.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have to listen and
5	find out.
6	MS. RAJESWARI: Of course. You haven't
7	listened to anything at this point.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.
9	MS. RAJESWARI: But can I have your
10	assurance you will not hold the People to a much
11	higher burden than what we have to do?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	MS. RAJESWARI: Can everybody here give
14	me that assurance? Is there anybody here who
15	feels that they cannot give me that assurance?
16	What about you, sir? Everybody can do
17	that?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19	MS. RAJESWARI: Now you also will hear
20	that in this particular case there are no injuries
21	to the vaginal area. Now the Judge will tell you
22	what we need to prove, what we don't need to
23	prove. If we don't need to prove physical injury
24	to prove forcible rape, if that's the charge the
25	judge gives, will everybody follow that law and

Case 1:18-cv-04586-KAM	Document 9-3	Filed 11/08/18	Page 40 of 137 PageID #: 427	
		Colloquy	172	

1	just hold us to what we need to prove?
2	Mr. Wojnowski, what about you?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	MS. RAJESWARI: There is no problem?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
6	MS. RAJESWARI: If there is a problem
7	please tell me. This is the only chance we get to
8	speak to you. Once we pick you as a juror it is
9	going to be too late to say, by the way, this is
10	not something that I can be fair and impartial
11	with.
12	So if there is anything I haven't asked
13	that you think will affect your ability to be fair
14	please stop me.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: So you're saying if
16	there is no proof of force it's still rape?
17	MS. RAJESWARI: If we are not required to
18	prove force in order to prove rape
19	MR. LAMB: I am going to object.
20	THE COURT: I will sustain the objection
21	to the last statement. You want to rephrase it.
22	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes. The judge will
23	define the standard what we need to prove and we
24	will prove that beyond a reasonable doubt.
25	My question is just based on the nature

1	of the charges that it is rape, will you require
2	additional proof or hold us to a higher standard?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I thought that was
4	the previous question.
5	MS. RAJESWARI: Right. And if he tells
6	you we don't need to prove physical injury to show
7	force will you accept that?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
9	MS. RAJESWARI: Will you accept the law
10	as the judge gives it to you? That's essentially
11	the question. Did you have a question?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Physical injury,
13	specific body part or just in general?
14	MS. RAJESWARI: In general or specific
15	part as he defines the law. If he tells we don't
16	need to actually prove physical injury to prove
17	forcible rape, if he gives you the law that way
18	will you follow that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so.
20	THE COURT: Anybody here who cannot
21	follow the law as Justice Rooney will define it?
22	Ms. Basman?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I will discuss this
24	in private. I don't think I can be fair when I
25	hear rape.

1	MS. RAJESWARI: Okay.
2	Now there are jurors who for a variety of
3	reasons whether it's personal, political,
4	religious, social, have a hesitation to convict no
5	matter what the prosecutor does.
6	I have a friend who told me no matter
7	what the proof is I can't say guilty, I can't
8	convict, that's my belief. Well, that is fine.
9	We need to know if anyone here feels this way even
10	if Mr. Katchen and myself prove this case to you
11	beyond a reasonable doubt.
12	Does anybody here feel, I just can't
13	convict another person, just can't do it? Anybody
14	here have that?
15	So if I prove the case beyond a
16	reasonable doubt according to the law that Justice
17	Rooney gives, can I have everybody's assurance
18	that you will convict the defendant?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would feel bad.
20	What if I was wrong and made the wrong decision
21	sending an innocent
22	MS. RAJESWARI: That's the hesitation to
23	convict.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I would feel,
25	yeah, God forbid, I make the wrong decision, I

	1
1	send an innocent person away. It would bother me.
2	MS. RAJESWARI: Obviously that is going
3	to go through everybody's mind. That's not what
4	anybody wants to do. But if I prove it to you
5	beyond a reasonable doubt would you still have a
6	hesitation to convict?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know.
8	MS. RAJESWARI: You don't know. Thank
9	you for your candor.
10	Is there anybody here who feels even if
11	she proves it beyond a reasonable doubt, I can't
12	say guilty, I can't convict? Anybody here feels
13	that way?
14	And Justice Rooney had already told you
15	punishment or sympathy cannot be part of your
16	evaluation. You are going to listen to witnesses,
17	you are going to hear evidence, the judge will
18	give you the law. Just like our juror said, You
19	know what I feel bad. I sympathize with him or I
20	feel bad. I don't want somebody to go to jail. I
21	don't know what he is going to get.
22	You can't consider punishment or sympathy
23	in your deliberations.
24	MR. LAMB: Objection.
25	THE COURT: Sustained. I have been over

1	this.
2	MS. RAJESWARI: All right. Everybody
3	here who can give me that assurance that if you
4	are picked as a juror you will listen to the judge
5	and not consider punishment or sympathy?
6	Ma'am.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I am sorry, say
8	it again.
9	MS. RAJESWARI: If you are picked as a
10	juror you will not consider punishment or sympathy
11	as the judge will tell you you can't.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I will follow that
13	instruction.
14	MS. RAJESWARI: Can you?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
16	MS. RAJESWARI: Sir, what about you?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19	MS. RAJESWARI: Anybody else here?
20	Ms. Basman?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I cannot be
22	unsympathetic. I cannot.
23	MS. RAJESWARI: Ma'am, same issue?
24	Ms. Longo?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

1 MS. RAJESWARI: Ms. Ruzicka? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 3 MS. RAJESWARI: Thank you for your 4 candor. 5 Ladies and gentlemen, is there anything 6 that I have not asked you that you think you want 7 to speak in private that will affect you being a 8 fair juror in this case? You want to speak in 9 private I understand. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 11 MS. RAJESWARI: Anything that I haven't 12 touched upon? If there is nothing else I am going 13 thank you for your time and your attention. 14 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Lamb. 15 MR. LAMB: Good afternoon, ladies and 16 Perhaps we've been a little unfair 17 with you because we've been asking you questions 18 based on little snippets of the case that we've 19 been feeding to you and then asking you to try to 20 decide how you would answer, how you would handle 21 a situation without really having all of the 22 facts. 23 So my question to you is can I have the 24 assurance of all of you that you will listen to 25 all of the evidence, the entire case before

arriving at a decision as to the guilt or innocence?

In other words, quite frequently what we just tend to do is when we hear something that sounds very damning or bad, we draw a conclusion at that point and start to close our minds afterward and we don't hear what happens after which might be something that counteracts what you originally heard.

have to be extremely patient and listen to all of the evidence. And then after you've heard all of the evidence, you have to endure hearing both of us, both attorneys tell what we think the evidence proved, and then you have the honor of listening to Judge Rooney give you the law, and then and only then can you make a decision as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant.

That's a tall order to fill. Do I have the assurance that each and every one of you, that you can do that? That you can keep an open mind no matter how bad some particular part of the case is until you've heard both sides, you've heard everything? Can I get that assurance from all of you?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Personally I could 2 say it depends on the situation because the type 3 of person I am, I hear one wrong thing and I jump 4 the gun. I am going to be honest. That's who I 5 am. 6 MR. LAMB: You tend to be that way? -7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am being honest. 8 MR. LAMB: I thank you for that. 9 not an easy task to do but it's something that you 10 have to do in order to be a fair juror. 11 You see the proceedings in a trial are 12 the District Attorney goes first. He must go 13 first. He has the obligation to prove a case to 14 you so he has the lead. Somebody always has to 15 lead and then somebody has to follow. Somebody 16 has to lead in like a dance. Otherwise you both 17 step all over each other's feet. Somebody has to 18 lead and somebody as to follow. 19 The DA goes first. Then the defendant. 20 If you have already closed your mind during the 21 DA's case the defendant doesn't even get a chance 22 to even -- you don't even give him a chance to 23 answer. So you sort -- it may take days, a day or 24 two during the DA's case so you still have to keep 25 your mind open. Just something you have to do if

1	you are going to be a fair juror. And it may be a
2	difficult task to do.
3	Do all of you feel up to it? Anybody who
4	can't?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't do that.
6	MR. LAMB: Ms. Longo.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	MR. LAMB: Thank you for your candor.
9	Ms. Basman?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In this particular
11	case I couldn't do it.
12	MR. LAMB: My client has pled not guilty
13	in the case. So he is saying through that plea he
14	didn't commit the crimes that he's charged with.
15	DA is accusing him
16	MS. RAJESWARI: Objection.
17	MR. KATCHEN: Objection.
18	THE COURT: Well, he pled not guilty
19	that's clear. What's your question?
20	MR. LAMB: So we're at odds as to whether
21	the defendant is guilty or innocent. My client
22	says he's innocent. They say he's guilty. But
23	there is one thing I think both of us agree on in
24	this particular case and that is that there was a
25	relationship between the complainant and the
1	

1	defendant. A living relationship for a number of
2	months. But they lived together in a romantic
3	relationship for a number of months.
4	And the evidence will show I think
5	uncontroverted on both sides that this
6	relationship was a very dysfunctional
7	relationship. It was just a relationship with
8	disaster written all over it.
9	MR. KATCHEN: Objection.
10	THE COURT: You are really making an
11	argument now. What's the question?
12	MR. LAMB: Does anybody here feel as if
13	they might be affected by this based on their own
14	experiences, their own past experiences of perhaps
15	a painful or traumatic relationship that they
16	might have had?
17	We all probably have had a relationship
18	or two that didn't work in our lives. But is
19	there anybody here who has had a relationship that
20	was so painful, so traumatic that they don't feel
21	that they could in all fairness be fair to both
22	sides in this case?
23	(Hands raised.)
24	MR. LAMB: Ms. Basman and Mr. Guido.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

1 MR. LAMB: And you both feel that your 2 past, without going into detail, you had a 3 relationship that was very painful and would make 4 it very difficult for you to listen objectively to 5 another person's dysfunctional relations or bad relationship; is that correct? 6 7 Thank you for your candor. 8 Now you've heard the judge indicate that 9 in a criminal case the defendant does not have to 10 testify and if he chooses not to testify that you 11 are not to hold that against him because he didn't 12 have to prove anything. The burden of proof is 13 not on him, it's on the DA. 14 If he chooses not to testify do I have 15 the assurance of all of you that you will follow 16 the judge's ruling and not hold it against him and 17 not say, oh, he must be hiding something, he must 18 be guilty because an innocent person would speak 19 out? Is there anybody here that feels that an 20 innocent person should speak out and should 21 testify? 22 Ms. Ruzicka and Villafana. You both feel 23 an innocent person should speak out? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely. 25 MR. LAMB: You all feel that way?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It makes the case 3 harder because it's a relationship. 4 MR. LAMB: If the judge instructs you as 5 a matter of law that you must not hold it against 6 the defendant if he chooses not to exercise his 7 right to testify, if he -- in other words, if he 8 feels that the DA has not proven their case and so 9 why should he testify, you would still hold that 10 against him, still feel he should get on the stand 11 and deny the charges? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Ms. Villafana. 13 Absolutely. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would think he 15 would want to. It's a difficult situation where 16 you have two people involved and there are a lot 17 of issues between them. How would you understand? 18 MR. LAMB: You understand this is a 19 factual issue superimposed on a legal issue. 20 is -- people lived a real life and things really 21 happened. But we are now in a courtroom which is 22 kind of an unofficial thing and artificial thing 23 and there are rules in this courtroom. 24 accept that and do you accept one of the rules is 25 that the People have the burden of proving guilt

1	to you. Would you accept that if the People if
2	it's our position as the defense that the People
3	have failed to do that, that they have not proven
4	that he is guilty, why should he bother to
5	testify? And the judge will instruct you as to
6	that. The judge will tell you.
7	THE COURT: I wouldn't give them the
8	instruction the way you just phrased it. The law
9	is quite clear if the defendant does not testify
10	in a criminal trial it is not factor from which
11	any inference unfavorable to the defendant may be
12	drawn. Is there anybody who cannot accept that
13	fundamental principle in our criminal law?
14	MR. LAMB: You ladies in the back there.
15	Maybe it was the way I put it.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We would have to
17	accept it because it's the written law.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thats' not how I
19	feel.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If you're innocent
21	you want your name cleared and you want your story
22	heard, you would speak out. But, yeah, obviously
23	if you're sworn as a juror you have to follow the
24	law of the land.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: But it's difficult.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: But that's difficult.
2	MR. LAMB: You would do it very
3	reluctantly?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would probably say
5	reluctantly. If you are innocent you want your
6	story heard and you want your name cleared.
7	MR. LAMB: Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And you would put
9	yourself out there to get it known, I would think.
10	I would.
11	MR. LAMB: You feel the same way?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It would be what the
13	evidence shows.
14	MR. LAMB: How about the rest of you?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You've made the
16	statement about a relationship. If they're doing
17	this through her side of the relationship, I would
18	think that he should be able even if he's innocent
19	tell his side of the story.
20	MR. LAMB: What if we feel
21	THE COURT: Would you step up just a
22	moment please?
23	(Discussion held off the record at the
24	bench.)
25	THE COURT: Go ahead.

1	MR. LAMB: Let's try the flip side of
2	that. If the defendant does testify, if he
3	chooses to testify, will you listen to him as
4	carefully and as patiently and as carefully as you
5	did every other witness, or would you just close
6	your mind, the defendant he has something to lose
7	or I didn't believe a word he says? Do I have the
8	assurance of every one of you that you will listen
9	to him carefully if he does choose to testify and
10	give him the same his testimony the same degree
11	of consideration as you do every other witness?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would be too
13	sympathetic to the woman.
14	MR. LAMB: To the woman?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: To the woman.
16	MR. LAMB: That's fair enough.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Ms. Valenti. Like I
18	said before I am not open-minded. I'm being
19	honest.
20	MR. LAMB: Well, I thank you for your
21	time, ladies and gentlemen.
22	THE COURT: Would you step up just a
23	moment again please?
24	(Discussion held off the record at the
25	bench.)

1	THE COURT: I am going to give the
2	lawyers a few minutes to go over their notes and
3	step to the side.
4	MR. KATCHEN: I believe one of the
5	prospective jurors wanted to speak to us.
6	THE COURT: Ms. Basman, you can come
7	right across here and we will talk at the sidebar.
8	(The following took place at the
9	sidebar:)
10	(Prospective Juror Number 1 approached.)
11	THE COURT: Okay. We are at the sidebar
12	with Ms. Basman, the lawyers and the defendant.
13	What did you want to say?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 26 years ago when I
1,5	was thirteen back in Russia I spent a month with
16	my mother and my uncle and my uncle molested me.
17	I was too afraid, too upset. My mom, I didn't say
18	anything. Now I know what rape is.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. Anything else?
20	Any questions?
21	MR. LAMB: No.
22	MS. RAJESWARI: No.
23	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Basman. I
24	will let you resume your seat.
25	When you're all ready let me know.

1	(The following took place in open court:)
2	THE COURT: I am going to give the
3	lawyers a few minutes. If you want to step out or
4	you can stay, don't discuss the case with each
5	other or anyone else.
6	(Short recess taken.)
7	(The following took place at the
8	sidebar:)
9	THE COURT: We're at a sidebar with the
10	defendant and the lawyers. For challenges it
11	seems to me there are a number of challenges,
12	cause challenges. If you want to consent and you
13	don't want to argue it out, just say so. We will
14	go one at a time.
<b>1</b> 5	Number one, Ms. Basman. Cause People?
16	MR. KATCHEN: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Lamb, you consent?
18	MR. LAMB: Yes.
19	THE COURT: On consent.
20	Ms. Longo. Cause People?
21	MR. KATCHEN: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?
23	MR. LAMB: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Cause on consent.
25	Number 3, Ms. Delapena. Cause People?

1	MR. KATCHEN: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?
3	MR. LAMB: Yes.
4	THE COURT: For cause on consent.
5	Number 4, Mr. Dambrosio. Cause People?
6	MR. KATCHEN: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?
8	MR. LAMB: Yes.
9	THE COURT: For cause on consent.
10	Number 5, Mr. Mani. Cause People?
11	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes. His English, I
12	don't think he understands. We couldn't
13	understand a word he was saying. There was a
14	serious language issue.
15	MR. KATCHEN: Based on the voir dire
16	there doesn't seem any possible way he would be
17	able to deliberate with other jurors. He wouldn't
18	be responsive to questions.
19	MS. RAJESWARI: What anybody said.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?
21	MR. LAMB: I would oppose that. I
22	thought he answered questions.
23	THE COURT: We'll have to get him over.
24	MS. RAJESWARI: He didn't say anything.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Mani, could you step over
l	

1	here a moment please?
2	Wayne, can we get him around here please?
3	(Prospective Juror Number 5 approached
4	the sidebar.)
5	THE COURT: Mr. Mani, we just wanted to
6	ask you about your English. Have you understood?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I understand
8	some.
9	THE COURT: I beg your pardon?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Some words.
11	THE COURT: Some words you have trouble
12	with?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
14	THE COURT: How much do you think you've
15	understood if you could give me a percentage?
16	Half of it, three quarters of it? What do you
17	think?
18	How much do you think you've understood
19	of what we've said today? Most of it, some of it?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Some of it.
21	THE COURT: Some of it. Okay. Any
22	questions?
23	MR. LAMB: No.
24	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. I will let
25	you resume your seat. Thank you.

1	MR. LAMB: I rest your case.
2	THE COURT: You consent?
3	MR. LAMB: Yes.
4	THE COURT: For cause on consent.
5	Ms. Valenti. Cause People?
6	MR. LAMB: Clearly she couldn't be fair.
7	MR. KATCHEN: We are not going to argue
8	with that.
9	THE COURT: This is a cause challenge by
10	the People?
11	MR. KATCHEN: Consent.
12	THE COURT: You know we're talking with
13	Ms. Valenti.
14	MR. KATCHEN: Yes.
15	MR. LAMB: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Cause on consent?
17	MR. LAMB: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Horlevein. Cause People?
19	MS. RAJESWARI: No.
20	THE COURT: Cause defense?
21	MR. LAMB: Yes.
22	THE COURT: People are consenting or not?
23	MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.
24	THE COURT: For cause on consent.
25	Next is Mr. Orlando. Cause People?
Į	

1	MS. RAJESWARI: No.
2	THE COURT: Defense?
3	MR. LAMB: Yes. I just looked at him
4	what was your response?
5	MS. RAJESWARI: No cause.
6	MR. LAMB: No cause.
7	THE COURT: Peremptory People?
8	MS. RAJESWARI: No.
9	THE COURT: Defense?
10	MR. LAMB: No.
11	THE COURT: So, Carmine, that's Juror
12	Number 6.
13	THE CLERK: Yes, sir.
14	THE COURT: Next is Ms. Ruzicka. Cause
15	People?
16	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?
18	MR. LAMB: Consent.
19	THE COURT: For cause on consent.
20	Next is Ms. Villafana. Cause People?
21	MR. KATCHEN: Yes.
22	MR. LAMB: Consent.
23	THE COURT: For cause on consent.
24	Next is Mr. Donadio. Cause People?
25	MS. RAJESWARI: No.

1 MR. KATCHEN: No. 2 THE COURT: Cause? 3 MR. LAMB: No. 4 THE COURT: Peremptory People? 5 MS. RAJESWARI: No. 6 THE COURT: Defense? 7 MR. LAMB: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Next Mr. Guido. Cause 9 People? 10 MR. KATCHEN: Absolutely. 11 MS. RAJESWARI: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Defense? 13 MR. LAMB: Consent. 14 THE COURT: For cause on consent. 15 Wojnowski. Cause People? 16 MS. RAJESWARI: No. 17 MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate 18 that he would insist that the defendant testify. 19 THE COURT: People? 20 MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent. 21 THE COURT: For cause on consent. 22 NS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent. 23 MS. RAJESWARI: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Lamb? 25 MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.		
MR. LAMB: No.  THE COURT: Peremptory People?  MS. RAJESWARI: No.  THE COURT: Defense?  MR. LAMB: Yes.  THE COURT: Next Mr. Guido. Cause  People?  MR. KATCHEN: Absolutely.  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Defense?  MR. LAMB: Consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Wojnowski. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: No.  MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate  that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  NEXT PEOPLE?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	1	MR. KATCHEN: No.
THE COURT: Peremptory People?  MS. RAJESWARI: No.  THE COURT: Defense?  MR. LAMB: Yes.  THE COURT: Next Mr. Guido. Cause  People?  MR. KATCHEN: Absolutely.  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Defense?  MR. LAMB: Consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Wojnowski. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: No.  MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate  that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	2	THE COURT: Cause?
MS. RAJESWARI: No.  THE COURT: Defense?  MR. LAMB: Yes.  THE COURT: Next Mr. Guido. Cause  People?  MR. KATCHEN: Absolutely.  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Defense?  MR. LAMB: Consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Wojnowski. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: No.  MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate  that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  NEXT SEWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  NEXT SEWARI: Yes.  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	3	MR. LAMB: No.
MR. LAMB: Yes.  THE COURT: Next Mr. Guido. Cause  People?  MR. KATCHEN: Absolutely.  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Defense?  MR. LAMB: Consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Wojnowski. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: No.  MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate  that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  NEXT THE COURT: For cause on consent.  NEXT THE COURT: For cause on consent.  NEXT IS Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	4	THE COURT: Peremptory People?
THE COURT: Next Mr. Guido. Cause  People?  MR. KATCHEN: Absolutely.  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Defense?  MR. LAMB: Consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Wojnowski. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: No.  MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate  that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	5	MS. RAJESWARI: No.
People?  MR. KATCHEN: Absolutely.  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Defense?  MR. LAMB: Consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Wojnowski. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: No.  MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate  that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	6	THE COURT: Defense?
9 People?  10 MR. KATCHEN: Absolutely.  11 MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  12 THE COURT: Defense?  13 MR. LAMB: Consent.  14 Wojnowski. Cause on consent.  15 MS. RAJESWARI: No.  17 MS. RAJESWARI: No.  18 that he would insist that the defendant testify.  19 THE COURT: People?  20 MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  21 THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  23 MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  24 THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	7	MR. LAMB: Yes.
MR. KATCHEN: Absolutely.  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Defense?  MR. LAMB: Consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Wojnowski. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: No.  MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate  that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	8	THE COURT: Next Mr. Guido. Cause
MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Defense?  MR. LAMB: Consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Wojnowski. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: No.  MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate  that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	9	People?
THE COURT: Defense?  MR. LAMB: Consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Wojnowski. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: No.  MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate  that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	10	MR. KATCHEN: Absolutely.
MR. LAMB: Consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Wojnowski. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: No.  MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate  that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	11	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Wojnowski. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: No.  MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate  that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	12	THE COURT: Defense?
MS. RAJESWARI: No.  MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	13	MR. LAMB: Consent.
MS. RAJESWARI: No.  MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	14	THE COURT: For cause on consent.
MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	15	Wojnowski. Cause People?
that he would insist that the defendant testify.  THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	16	MS. RAJESWARI: No.
THE COURT: People?  MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	17	MR. LAMB: Yes. He continued to indicate
MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.  THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	18	that he would insist that the defendant testify.
THE COURT: For cause on consent.  Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	19	THE COURT: People?
Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?  MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	20	MS. RAJESWARI: We'll consent.
MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.  THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	21	THE COURT: For cause on consent.
THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?	22	Next is Mr. Derleth. Cause People?
	23	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
MR. LAMB: Yes.	24	THE COURT: Mr. Lamb?
	25	MR. LAMB: Yes.

1	THE COURT: For cause on consent. And
2	last is Ms. Fuchs. Cause People?
3	MR. KATCHEN: No.
4	THE COURT: Defense?
5	MR. LAMB: No.
6	THE COURT: Peremptory?
7	MS. RAJESWARI: No.
8	THE COURT: Defense?
9	MR. LAMB: Yes.
10	THE COURT: For the record we will also
11	excuse Number 15, Ms. Totaram.
12	Carmine says we have 22 bodies left and
13	that's all we can get today. I can get another
14	fifty tomorrow. You want to do this at two or you
15	want me to do my part now or break for lunch? I
16	don't care.
17	MR. LAMB: However you want to do it.
18	THE COURT: I will do my voir dire. Then
19	we'll break for lunch so you can voir dire.
20	We're going to do 22 now.
21	THE CLERK: People used three, defense
22	used six.
23	MR. LAMB: That's the total out of both
24	rounds?
25	THE COURT: Right. Listen you still want

1	to keep the sworns around? Why don't we tell them
2	to come back tomorrow?
3	MR. LAMB: Okay.
4	THE COURT: You consent to that?
5	MR. LAMB: Okay.
6	THE COURT: What I will do is do my voir
7	dire and then I will send the panel out and tell
8	the sworns they can come back tomorrow.
9	(The following took place in open court:)
10	THE CLERK: The following juror has been
11	selected for this case: Edmund Orlando, you are
12	Juror Number 6. Just remain seated. Only
13	Mr. Orlando remain seated.
14	Everybody else please stand, go out in
15	the hallway. You have to return to central jury
16	for your next trial. Thank you.
17	(All unselected prospective jurors left
18	the courtroom and returned to central jury.)
19	THE CLERK: Mr. Orlando, just take the
20	jury box, remain standing, raise your right hand.
21	Do you solemnly swear that you will try
22	this action in a just and impartial manner and to
23	the best of your judgment render a verdict
24	according to the law and the evidence so help you
25	God?

1	JUROR NO. SIX: I do.
2	THE CLERK: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Orlando, you can have a
4	seat in the audience with the sworns.
5	THE CLERK: Juror Number 6 can have a
6	seat with the other five.
7	THE COURT: I am going to do this at
8	2 o'clock.
9	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes, your Honor.
10	MR. LAMB: Okay.
11	THE COURT: I understand there are 22
12	people left we haven't talked to. We are going to
13	do all of you in one round so we can finish up
14	with this panel and it's about 12:35. This is
<b>1</b> 5	really not enough time before lunch to get into
16	this. We are going to break until two and at two
17	we'll interview the remaining 22 people and we'll
18	know your faith well before the end of the
19	afternoon. Thank you very much for your patience
20	and ask you all to step out.
21	Please go to central jury at two o'clock
22	and an officer will bring you over. I am going to
23	ask our sworn jurors to stay with us for a minute.
24	(Prospective jurors left the courtroom.)
25	THE COURT: Counsels, can you step up for

197

1 one moment please? 2 (Discussion held off the record at the 3 bench.) THE COURT: We've just been deciding 5 whether to keep you around longer. Things are 6 going a little slower than we thought they would. 7 We're going to continue selection this afternoon 8 but the lawyers and I have decided there is really 9 no need to keep you around this afternoon because 10 we're not going to get started until tomorrow. 11 We'd like you to be back tomorrow in the 12 jury room and I am going to say noon. I think by 13 then we should have a jury hopefully and we'll 14 start up then. So thanks very much for your 15 patience and attention. I'm sorry for the delay 16 but it's not going to hold us up in terms of our 17 initial schedule. 18 Let me remind you before you leave you 19 must not discuss the case with each other or 20 anyone else. You must not speak with anyone prior 21 to being discharged about taking anything, any 22 payment or benefit in return for supplying 23 information concerning this trial. Report 24 directly to me please any attempt to your 25 knowledge involving an attempt by anyone to

1	improperly influence you or any member of the
2	jury. Do not visit or view the premise where any
3	charged crime was allegedly committed. I don't
4	believe you've heard a location yet. You will.
5	Don't read, view or listen to any media
6	accounts involving this case should be there be
7	any. That includes the Internet. Don't research
8	any fact, issue or law. Don't form any opinion.
9	Keep an open mind until all the evidence is in and
10	you begin your deliberations.
11	Thank you again. Have a good afternoon.
12	I don't think Mr. Orlando has seen the jury room.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know where it is.
14	THE COURT: You were here before. So
15	we'll see you again at noon. Have a good day.
16	(The sworn jurors left the courtroom.)
17	THE COURT: The sworn jurors have left.
18	Do you want to make a record?
19	MR. LAMB: Yes, Judge. As the Court had
20	indicated we've had some discussions in the past
21	sessions regarding handwriting expert with regard
22	to an alleged diary in possession of the DA and
23	the defendant has indicated to me that he has
24	secured a handwriting expert.
25	He has provided me with a copy of the CV

	<b>1</b>
1	and I have in turn provided a copy of the CV to
2	the DA.
3	It is your request that prior that
4	this expert be allowed to examine the diary prior
5	to the testimony of the complaining witness
6	because it pertains to very crucial portions.
7	THE COURT: When do you anticipate
8	calling the defendant?
9	MR. KATCHEN: Either tomorrow or Monday
10	depending when we start.
11	MR. LAMB: We're trying to make every
12	effort to get this expert in tomorrow.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. LAMB: So I would hope that we could
15	do this: That the People would allow the expert
16	to examine the diary and what he has to do before
17	the complaining witness is called.
18	THE COURT: All right. We should be able
19	to work that out.
20	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Okay. This is an expert who
22	will go to the DA's office?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, he will.
24	THE COURT: Good.
25	MR. LAMB: Thank you.

1	THE COURT: 2 o'clock.
2	MS. RAJESWARI: Thank you.
3	THE CLERK: Court's in recess for lunch,
4	two o'clock.
5	(Court stood in recess for lunch.)
6	AFTERNOON SESSION.
7	THE CLERK: Case on trial continues. All
8	parties present.
9	THE COURT: Sworn Juror Number 2 told the
10	sergeant he has some problems.
11	MR. LAMB: I'm sorry?
12	THE COURT: Sworn Juror Number 2 has told
13	the sergeant he has some family problems. So he's
14	here. Let's bring him in and see what's on his
15	mind.
16	THE CLERK: Matthew Santoro.
17	(Short pause in proceedings.)
18	COURT OFFICER: Are you ready?
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	(Juror Number 2 entered courtroom.)
21	THE SERGEANT: Juror Number 2 entering.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Santoro, the sergeant
23	says you wanted to speak to us. What's on your
24	mind?
25	JUROR NO. 2: I was under the

1	understanding
2	THE COURT: I beg your pardon?
3	JUROR NO. 2: I had a misunderstanding
4	what went on yesterday with the selection of the
5	jury. I didn't know that I was permanently
6	selected to sit and it's not going to work out for
7	me to be able to stay the length of the case
8	because financially I can't afford to stay here
9	for the remainder of the case.
10	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
11	JUROR NO. 2: I am a longshoreman.
12	THE COURT: Are they paying you while
13	you're here?
14	JUROR NO. 2: No. They did the past two
15	days but they are not going to be able to pay me
16	the remainder of the trial.
17	THE COURT: You want to step up?
18	(Discussion held off the record at the
19	bench.)
20	THE COURT: Mr. Santoro, could you just
21	step out of the courtroom? We'll make a brief
22	record and we'll bring you back in.
23	(Juror Number 2 left the courtroom.)
24	THE COURT: Okay, the juror has left the
25	courtroom. How should we proceed?

1	MR. LAMB: Judge, it is my feeling that
2	based on what the juror said his attention
3	there is a danger his attention might very well be
4	on his ability to pay bills rather than what's
5	transpiring in the courtroom. I would consent to
6	excusing him and my client is agreeing with that.
7	THE COURT: Is that right, Mr. Rucano?
8	THE DEFENDANT: I have no problem with
9	that.
10	MR. KATCHEN: People consent as well.
11	THE COURT: Bring him back in and we'll
12	excuse him.
13	THE SERGEANT: Sworn Juror Number 2
14	reentering the courtroom.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Santoro, the
16	lawyers have consented to excusing you from this
17	matter. You are excused. I guess he goes back to
18	central jury. You have to go back to central jury
19	to check out.
20	JUROR NO. 2: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: I think they are going to
22	give you his card. They got it.
23	JUROR NO. 2: Thank you.
24	THE COURT: He was Number 2. Shall we
25	simply move numbers three, four, five and six up a

	i
1	seat?
2	MR. KATCHEN: That's fine.
3	MR. LAMB: Yes, Judge.
4	THE COURT: So, in other words, three
5	becomes two, four becomes three and so on. Let's
6	get the panel over here and finish up with this
7	group.
8	THE CLERK: 22 jurors.
9	THE COURT: I can't get anymore this
10	afternoon. It's tomorrow?
11	THE CLERK: Yes, Judge.
12	(Pause in proceedings.)
13	MR. LAMB: Judge, can we approach
14	briefly?
15	THE COURT: Sure.
16	(Discussion held off the record at the
17	bench.)
18	THE COURT OFFICER: Ready for the panel?
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	(Prospective jurors entered the
21	courtroom.)
22	THE CLERK: As your name is called take
23	your belongings and have a seat as directed.
24	Seat one, Kathy Ortiz. O R T I Z.
25	Seat two, Michael Palazzolo.
L	

1	PALAZZOLO.
2	Three, Joseph Nochella. N O C H E L L A.
3	Four, Nadine Brown. B R O W N.
4	Nadine Brown.
5	No response, Judge, we'll call across the
6	street.
7	THE COURT: All right.
8	THE CLERK: Seat four, Richard Nelson.
9	NELSON.
10	Seat five, Lynn Ann Zakrzewski.
11	ZAKRZEWSKI.
12	Six, James Aymil. A Y M I L.
13	Seven, Christopher Nieves. N I E V E S.
14	Seat eight, Richard Agnello.
15	AGNELLO.
16	Seat nine, Robert Mirabella.
17	MIRABELLA.
18	Ten, Debra McCarter. M C C A R T E R.
19	Eleven, Michael Philip. P H I L I P.
20	Twelve, George Finger. FINGER.
21	Thirteen, Dara Keating. K E A T I N G.
22	Fourteen, Maria Huertas. H U E R T A S.
23	Fifteen, Maryann Perry. PERRY.
24	Sixteen, Robert Shu. S H U.
25	Seat seventeen, will be in the front row

1	closest to the windows. Step up, Preston Sharkey.
2	SHARKEY. That's seat 17.
3	Eighteen, is Vanna Stoyko. S T O Y K O.
4	Seat nineteen, step up Angela Caleca.
5	CALECA.
6	Twenty, Jeffrey Sclafani S C L A F A N I.
7	Sclafani.
8	Twenty-one, Robert Taylor. T A Y L O R.
9	Seat twenty-two, Ronald Lewis L E W I S.
10	Twenty-two prospective jurors seated.
11	THE COURT: I will ask those of you in
12	the front row to keep your voice up so we can all
13	hear you. And I will just launch into this.
14	Do any of you know the lawyers or the
15	defendant?
16	Ms. Keating?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Who do you know?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I saw ADA a couple of
20	times in his office.
21	THE COURT: Excuse me?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I seen ADA in their
23	office a couple of times.
24	THE COURT: These two?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Job related in connection
2	with another case.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Cases that I had.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	You know what we're talking about,
6	counsellors?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I am a police
8	officer.
9	THE COURT: Oh, I see.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: So every time I have
11	a case I go to ADA's.
12	THE COURT: If you were selected as a
13	juror in this case could you be fair and
14	impartial, fair to both sides?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
16	THE COURT: I will let the lawyers talk
17	to you further about that if you want to.
18	Anybody else?
19	I read a list of potential witnesses
20	earlier in this case. And the question is did any
21	of you recognize any of those names?
22	Any of you want me to read the names
23	again?
24	Do any of you think you know anything
25	about this case apart from what you've heard in
l	

1	court here yesterday and today?
2	Are any of you or anyone close to you
3	involved in law enforcement in any capacity? I
4	will talk with the jury box and then I will go out
5	to the front row.
6	Mr. Palazzolo, who do you know?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a lot of
8	friends that are newly cops and one of my really,
9	really close friends is.
10	THE COURT: New cops is that what you
11	said?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Anything about those
14	friendships that would have any bearing in your
15	mind in terms of being fair?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
17	THE COURT: Front row.
18	Mr. Aymil.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, several friends.
20	THE COURT: Same question. Is that going
21	to have any bearing here in terms of your ability
22	to be fair?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	Anyone else in the front row?

Colloquy 208

1	Ms. Zakrzewski?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My brother-in-law is
3	a detective.
4	THE COURT: Is it detective, New York
5	City police detective? Is that going to have any
6	affect on your ability to be fair?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
8	THE COURT: Ms. Ortiz.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Neighbors, cousins
10	all detectives and one judge good friend in
11	Manhattan Supreme Court.
12	THE COURT: Who is the judge?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Susan Cohan.
14	THE COURT: Do you think is there
15	anything about these friendships, relationships
16	that would affect your ability to be fair?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18	THE COURT: Back row. One, two, three,
19	four. Mr. Finger.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My stepson is a
21	police officer.
22	THE COURT: Is that going to have any
23	affect on your ability to be a fair juror?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Thank you.
L	

1	Ms. Keating, you are in law enforcement.
2	How long have you been a police officer?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Six years. My
4	husband is also a police officer.
5	THE COURT: Your husband also. You think
6	you could be a fair juror in a criminal case, fair
7	to both sides?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am not sure.
9	THE COURT: You are not sure.
10	Mr. Shu.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know Mr. Humel. He
12	was the top ranked in the NYPD newest attorney.
13	THE COURT: Newest attorney?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Is your friendship with this
16	going to affect your ability to be a fair juror?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18	THE COURT: Could you be fair?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Anyone else in the jury box?
21	Did you raise your hand?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Maria Huertas.
23	My sister-in-law is in enforcement and close
24	friend and family.
25	THE COURT: What does your sister do in

1	law enforcement?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She is a school
3	safety and now she is taking the test for
4	policeman.
5	THE COURT: Is there anything about her
6	job and your friend's jobs that would affect your
7	ability to be fair?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	Did I get everyone in the jury box?
11	Let me go out to the audience. I think
12	there were some hands for law enforcement.
13	Ms. Caleca.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. My cousin was
15	deputy warden in Riker's.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Is that going to have
17	any affect on your ability to be a fair juror?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	Was there more or did I cut you off?
21	Mr. Sclafani.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. My cousin is a
23	lieutenant in NYPD. I have a couple of friends
24	who work in corrections in Riker's.
25	THE COURT: Same question. Will these

Colloquy

211

1	friendships, relationships have any affect on your
2	ability to be fair?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Thank you.
5	Mr. Taylor, did you have your hand up?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I have an uncle
7	who is a retired correction officer.
8	THE COURT: Would that affect your
9	ability to be a fair juror?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, Judge.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. Did I get
12	everybody?
13	Next question, are any of you or anyone
14	close to you involved in the legal field in any
15	way, shape or form, lawyer, paralegal, employee,
16	prosecutor's office?
17	Ms. Perry?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My sister,
19	brother-in-law and nephew are lawyers in Staten
20	Island.
21	THE COURT: Do any of them practice in
22	criminal law to your knowledge?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Very, very little.
24	THE COURT: Have you ever discussed cases
25	with them?
L	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Is there anything about these
3	relationships that would prevent you from being
4	fair?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. Did I see another
7	hand in the jury box?
8	Ms. Huertas.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My brother,
10	paralegal.
11	THE COURT: Does he work for a law firm?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Do you know if the firm
14	practices any criminal law?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't think so.
16	THE COURT: Anything about that that will
17	affect your ability to be a fair juror?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not sure.
19	THE COURT: Not sure. How so? What is
20	it?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We go through a lot
22	of conversations. I hope it doesn't. I don't
23	think it would.
24	THE COURT: You don't think it would,
25	okay.
J	

1	Anyone else in the jury box on this
2	question?
3	Anybody in the front row?
4	Have any of you or anyone close to you to
5	your knowledge ever been the victim of a crime?
6	Ms. Caleca, can you tell me a little bit
7	it?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My father was held up
9	in his own garage and my husband and I were tried
10	to get into the car with a gun but there was no
11	case because he ran away and my father didn't
12	recognize him because he had covered his face.
13	THE COURT: How long ago were these
14	events?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Quite a few years.
16	THE COURT: Anything about any of these
17	events that would prevent you from being a fair
18	juror in this case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	Anyone else in the front row?
22	Let me get the back row.
23	Ms. Huertas.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was robbed inside
25	the apartment when I was sleeping and I woke up to
l	·

1	the robbery. And they fled as I came out of the
2	bedroom.
3	THE COURT: Was anybody caught, arrested?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not to my knowledge,
5	no.
6	THE COURT: How long ago was this?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About ten years ago.
8	THE COURT: Would this have any affect on
9	your ability to be fair in this case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know. I have
11	a lot of anxiety from that.
12	THE COURT: I can imagine. And obviously
13	it's something that's going to be with you forever
14	in your mind. But the question is would it affect
15	your ability to be fair as a juror in this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18	Other hands on this question.
19	Ms. Ortiz.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My sister. Domestic
21	violence well over twenty years ago.
22	THE COURT: Was there an arrest in the
23	case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Anything about that that

1	would affect your ability to be a fair juror here?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would hope not.
3	THE COURT: I am supposed to try to push
4	people if I can to get an unequivocal response.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am not sure.
6	THE COURT: You are not sure. I will
7	leave you alone. If the lawyers want to talk to
8	you they can do that.
9	Other hands. Mr. Shu.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. My wife was
11	robbed three years ago and I was robbed five years
12	ago and also last when we were on vacation our
13	house was broken into and we lost a lot.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Anybody arrested on
15	any of those occasions?
16	PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Not yet.
17	THE COURT: Not yet. Is there anything
18	about any or all of these events that would
19	prevent you from being a fair juror in this case?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would try my best.
21	THE COURT: Could you assure us if
22	selected you would be fair and impartial?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Other hands.
25	Ms. Perry.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My husband was
2	assaulted many years ago but it did come to trial.
3	THE COURT: It did.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Did you go to court?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
8	experience that would prevent you from being fair
9	here?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. Other hands.
12	Mr. Agnello.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. My cousin was
14	beat up and died from it.
15	THE COURT: Beat up and?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He died.
17	THE COURT: How long ago was this?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About ten years.
19	THE COURT: Was anybody arrested?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Did you go to court at all?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: Anything about that that
24	would prevent you from being a fair juror in this
25	case?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	Anyone else? Mr. Aymil.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My grandmother was
5	mugged about 25 years ago in front of my house in
6	Brooklyn.
7	THE COURT: You know if anybody was
8	arrested?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
10	THE COURT: Was there anything about that
11	that would prevent you from being fair here?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, sir.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	Anyone else?
15	Anybody in the front row on this? Again
16	we can speak privately if you prefer. The
17	question is any of you Ms. Caleca, what
18	question are you answering?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't want to say.
20	I have to speak to you privately.
21	THE COURT: We'll get to you shortly.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Maria Huertas. Me
23	also.
24	THE COURT: This is Mr. Palazzolo and Mr.
25	Agnello. I don't know if you are raising your

1	hand to the question I was about to ask and
2	didn't. Just so I'm clear this is Ms. Perry also.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	THE COURT: I'm putting a check next to
5	all your names. We'll talk to you when I am
6	finished and the question some of you are
7	confused. Have any of you, anyone close to you
8	ever been a defendant in a criminal proceeding?
9	This is Mr. Nochella.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11	THE COURT: You want to talk privately?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Anyone else?
14	Have any of you ever been a witness in
15	any kind of a courtroom proceeding?
16	Ms. Perry, can you tell me what it was
17	about?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was southern
19	district federal. It was a labor law.
20	THE COURT: Labor Law case.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Labor Law. I was a
22	witness.
23	THE COURT: You were a witness?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Was there anything about that

	,
1	experience on the witness stand that would prevent
2	you from being a fair juror?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Thank you.
5	Anyone else? Mr. Palazzolo.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: More than likely I
7	will talk to you in private.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else?
9	Has anyone ever been a litigant in a
10	civil action or proceeding? By litigant I mean a
11	plaintiff or a defendant. Any kind of civil
12	matter?
13	Mr. Lewis.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I was working
15	on Wall Street and my company was being Bear
16	Sterns was being sued by a bank in Chicago and I
17	had to give a deposition to a lawyer and that was
18	it. Just a deposition. That's all. I never went
19	to court.
20	THE COURT: Never went to court. Is that
21	matter finished now?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, it is. Bear
23	Sterns is out of business so.
24	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Front page of the
4	

Colloquy

220

1	paper.
2	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
3	experience in that deposition that would prevent
4	you from being a fair juror in a criminal case?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: Anyone else?
7	Mr. Finger.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just a preliminary
9	hearing that didn't go anywhere. Real estate
10	dispute and I was the plaintiff I guess and I just
11	dropped it.
12	THE COURT: So it's over now?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Anything about that
15	experience as a litigant that would prevent you
16	from being a fair juror?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18	THE COURT: Anyone else?
19	Did you have something you wanted to say?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Zakrzeski. My
21	company is in the middle of an FBI investigation
22	right now.
23	THE COURT: Currently?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We were raided three
25	years ago by the FBI and held at gunpoint and now

1	we have to wait and we all have to give we're
2	all being questioned over most of us have been
3	questioned. My turn didn't come up yet in
4	criminal.
5	THE COURT: I see. Is there anything
6	about this pending matter that would prevent you
7	from being a fair juror in this case?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else?
10	Juror experience. Have any of you ever
11	served on a jury before?
12	Mr. Aymil, can you tell me when?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Murder rape trial in
14	1999.
15	THE COURT: Richmond County.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. It was I was
17	out in Suffolk.
18	THE COURT: Don't tell us how it ended
19	up. The question is did you the jury reach a
20	verdict?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
23	experience that would prevent you from being able
24	to serve as a juror in this case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
J	

1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	Jury experience.
3	Ms. Perry, when?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Four years ago
5	criminal court in Brooklyn.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Robbery.
8	THE COURT: It was a trial.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was a trial and
10	there was a verdict.
11	THE COURT: And you reached a verdict?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Was there anything about that
14	experience that would prevent you from sitting in
15	this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	Ms. Huertas, did you have your hand up?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Six years ago
20	in Queens Court. It was a robbery.
21	THE COURT: Did the jury reach a verdict?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Was there anything about that
24	experience which would prevent you from sitting as
25	a juror in this case?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	Anyone else? Nobody.
4	Have any of you ever served on a Grand
5	Jury? Nobody.
6	Okay. Ms. Ortiz, are you employed?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I have not been
8	for the last three years.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Palazzolo, are you
10	employed?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not for the last two
12	days.
13	THE COURT: Two days. What did you do?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Life guard.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Nochella, are you
16	employed?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I am a ship
18	agent.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Nelson?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Retired.
21	THE COURT: From what, sir?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Firefighter.
23	THE COURT: Ms. Zakrzewski, what kind of
24	company is it you work for?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: International

1	engineering company.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	Mr. Aymil?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: What do you do?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MTA Metro North.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Nieves?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Unemployed.
9	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Agnello.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, truck driver.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Lewis, are
12	you employed?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Unemployed Wall
14	Street.
15	THE COURT: Bear Sterns. Thanks.
16	Mr. Taylor?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Bus operator Transit
18	Authority.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Sclafani?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Firefighter.
21	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Caleca?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: School bus for
23	special children.
24	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Stoyko?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Internal medicine

1	training for oncology.
2	THE COURT: I see. Thank you.
3	Mr. Sharkey?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Lawyer.
5	THE COURT: Thank you.
6	Mr. Shu, what do you, sir?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Chinese newsstand,
8	newspaper.
9	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Perry?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Retired. I was a
11	teacher, then union officer.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	Ms. Huertas.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Director of Sheraton
15	Hotel JFK.
16	THE COURT: Ms. Keating, we know what you
17	do.
18	Mr. Finger?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Church worker.
20	MR. LAMB: Could you repeat that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Church worker.
22	Christian worker.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Philip?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Manager of One Hour
25	Photo Lab.

1	THE COURT: Ms. McCarthy.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am retired
3	disability. I was a developmental aide for Staten
4	Island Developmental Center.
5	THE COURT: Thank you.
6	And Mr. Mirabella.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Construction
8	management.
9	THE COURT: Thank you all for that
10	information. Let me go through these principles
11	of law again. You heard me do this twice so I am
12	going to paraphrase where I can.
13	As you now know if you didn't know before
14	this process, an indictment is evidence of
15	nothing. In particular it's not evidence of
16	guilt.
17	Is there anybody who cannot accept this
18	proposition in our law?
19	If selected as a juror in this case you
20	would be called upon at the end of the trial to
21	deliberate with eleven other people in an effort
22	to reason together and reach a final unanimous
23	verdict or verdicts. Those of you who served on
24	juries before clearly know what this involves.
25	The question is do any of you feel you
l l	<b>]</b>

	1
1	could not deliberate with eleven other people if
2	called upon to do so?
3	Under our law everyone accused of a crime
4	and brought to trial is presumed innocent unless
5	or until he is proved guilty beyond a reasonable
6	doubt. The defendant in this case is presumed
7	innocent and to put this another way a defendant
8	is never required to prove his innocence.
9	On the contrary, the People having
10	accused the defendant of the crimes charged have
11	the burden of proving him guilty beyond a
12	reasonable doubt. The People carry this burden of
13	proof throughout the trial. It never shifts. It
14	always remains on the People. And the presumption
15	of innocence remains with every defendant
16	throughout every criminal trial.
17	Is there anyone amongst you who cannot
18	now in your own mind grant the defendant this
19	presumption of innocence?
20	Ms. Caleca, you don't think you can do
21	that?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: I am not going to
24	cross-examine you. The lawyers might, but I won't.
25	Anyone else on that?

1	As to the burden of proof issue, again,
2	as I said in a criminal case the burden of proof
3	is entirely on the People and remains on them
4	throughout the trial. A defendant not required to
5	prove anything nor is a defendant required to
6	disprove anything. The entire burden of proof is
7	on the People and remains on them throughout the
8	trial.
9	Is there anyone amongst you who cannot
10	accept this rule in our criminal law?
11	If a defendant does not testify in a
12	criminal trial that is not a factor from which any
13	inference unfavorable to such defendant may be
14	drawn. Is there anybody who cannot accept this
15	rule in our law?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Could you repeat
17	that?
18	THE COURT: If a defendant does not
19	testify in a criminal trial that is not a factor
20	from which any inference unfavorable to such
21	defendant may be drawn? Can you accept that
22	proposition?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24	THE COURT: Ms. Caleca.
25	Anyone else on that issue?

1	THE COURT: I defined yesterday the
2	standard of proof required for conviction in every
3	criminal case. That standard as you will recall
4	is proof of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
5	Did all of you hear that definition when
6	I read it? Is there anybody who would like me to
7	read it again? I would be happy to. No takers.
8	Okay.
9	Let me skip to the end of that section
10	and I will remind you that it's the duty of each
11	juror to carefully review, weigh and consider all
12	the evidence in the case. If after doing so you
<b>1</b> 3	find that the People have not proved the
14	defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt as I
15	have defined the term, then you must find the
16	defendant not guilty.
17	On the other hand, if you are satisfied
18	that the People have proved the defendant's guilt
19	beyond a reasonable doubt as I have defined the
20	term then you must find the defendant guilty.
21	Will you promise us now that if you are
22	selected as a juror you will follow and apply that
23	standard of proof, that is proof beyond a
24	reasonable doubt, exactly as I have defined it for
25	you? Is there anybody who can cannot make us that

1	promise?
2	I will remind you that a deliberating
3	jury is not permitted to consider the subject of
4	punishment. If there is to be any sentencing
5	following your verdict that's for the Court and
6	the Court alone to deal with. The jury is not
7	permitted to consider the possibility of
8	punishment or penalty in your deliberations and
9	may not include any sentencing recommendation in
10	their verdict.
11	Will you promise us now that if selected
12	as a juror you will render your verdict free from
13	fear, favor or sympathy without considering any
14	possibility of sentence or punishment? Anybody
15	who cannot make us that promise?
16	Mr. Finger?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have an issue with
18	that. Can I discuss it or you want me to just
19	THE COURT: You can discuss it. I will
20	talk to you privately if you prefer.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. The problem I

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. The problem I have and I know it's been building in me throughout being the observer of these proceedings as we've gone on, is a conflict in my mind between a charge of rape which is to me a very, very

22

23

24

25

1 serious charge which I know carries 2 extraordinarily profound, virtually life 3 destroying penalties on the one hand, and on the other hand what seems to be something growing out 5 of a very dysfunctional, very sad, very pathetic 6 relationship, but a relationship between a man and 7 a woman. And where maybe the man didn't take no 8 for an answer but you know that doesn't mean that 9 he should get charged with rape. And I mean there 10 is an incongruity here. 11 I am saying why is such a heavy penalty, 12 punishment, a crime being charged in an 13 essentially a relationship issue? I mean in my 14 background this is a sort of thing that you deal 15 with with therapy and counselling, you know, this 16 sort of thing. 17 This is not -- and I am saying -- what I am saying this is what sounds to me wildly 18 19 overcharged. Maybe this is a ploy for a -- you 20 know intimidate the defendant to copping a plea or 21 lesser charge. But it seems to me how could I now 22 consider that there is a humongous penalty --23 THE COURT: You don't know what the 24 penalty is. I haven't told you that and I am 25 going ask you and everyone else not to speculate.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know what the
penalty for rape or murder
THE COURT: It is not a murder case.
THE WITNESS: But these
THE COURT: You think you cannot promise
us you could decide the case free from fear, favor
or sympathy? Is that basically what you're
saying?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am saying the
little that I know about the case it seems to me a
domestic case being charged with, you know,
something that's associated I could see if
somebody did a rape in the traditional old
fashioned sense.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Finger. I
think you expressed yourself quite clearly. I do
appreciate that. Thanks very much.
Anybody else on this issue?
Mr. Taylor.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Somewhat
similar to this gentleman it's more of a matter
although I am aware of the fact that capital
cases, death penalty is not an issue anymore in
the State of New York, what you are saying I
shouldn't even consider whether or not it is.

1	THE COURT: Shouldn't consider what?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That the death
3	penalty
4	THE COURT: There is no death penalty
5	here.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand that.
7	But are you saying I should not consider it
8	whether it was or was not and if I don't consider
9	that, can I render a whatchamacallit based on
10	that?
11	THE COURT: What I am asking you can you
12	promise us if selected as a juror you would render
13	a verdict without considering any possibility of
14	punishment or penalty. We ask our jurors to
15	decide cases based on the facts and then apply the
16	law as I give it to them and we ask them not to
17	speculate or consider or discuss potential
18	sentencing that may follow a verdict, depending on
19	what your verdict is.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Can I consider that
21	I know in the State of New York death penalty
22	didn't apply. Can I consider that in that
23	question?
24	THE COURT: I am having a little trouble
25	comprehending you. There is no death penalty in

1 this case. There is no death penalty in New York 2 State. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Can I consider that? What you're asking what I can or can't, when 4 you're considering if I know there is no death 5 6 penalty, you are saying I can't consider whatever 7 penalty you would deem fit. Can I consider that 8 is what I'm asking you? 9 THE COURT: No. What you have to tell me 10 if you can and I am not going to put words in your 11 mouth, you could promise me and the lawyers you 12 will decide this case if you are a juror without 13 considering the subject of punishment or penalty. 14 In other words you will decide the case on the 15 facts and the law as I will give it to the jury 16 without reference to possible punishment or 17 penalty. If you can tell us you can do that, 18 that's fine. If you can't just tell me. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can because -- I 20 can, yes. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else on 22 this issue? 23 As you know from the witness list police 24 officers will testify in this case. They take the 25 same oath as anyone else. The mere fact that a

1	witness is a police officer does not make that
2	witness any more or any less credible.
3	Is there anyone amongst you who has any
4	feelings about the police or who has had any
5	experiences with the police which would leave you
6	in your judgment to give a police officer's
7	testimony any greater or lesser weight than anyone
8	else's merely because of the officer's occupation?
9	Anybody have this feeling one way or the other?
10	Mr. Philip, what's your feeling?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just don't like
12	cops. I don't trust them at all. I have had very
13	bad experiences with them in the past.
14	THE COURT: Does this apply to every
15	police officer, even ones you don't know?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	Anyone else on this issue?
19	Mr. Sclafani?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I would take
21	their opinion higher than the regular.
22	THE COURT: Even if you don't know the
23	officer.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Because of
25	their professionalism. This is assuming the fact

1	they were probably at the scene so they had more
2	of a clear view of what happened.
3	THE COURT: I really have no idea whether
4	there were any police at any scene.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just because they're
6	professional.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else?
8	Ms. Keating, could you evaluate a police
9	witness as you would anyone else?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11	THE COURT: I am done. We'll step to the
12	side now. We're going to talk to several people.
13	Let's get set up over there and I will talk to you
14	one at a time.
15	(The following took place at the
16	sidebar:)
17	THE COURT: Does your client want to come
18	over here?
19	MR. LAMB: No, he doesn't.
20	THE COURT: We are at a sidebar with the
21	lawyers. I understand your client does not want
22	to come over.
23	MR. LAMB: That's correct.
24	THE COURT: If either side wants me to I
25	will bring Mr. Finger over or we'll just leave it

1	alone.
2	MR. LAMB: I think all of his questions
3	should be answered publicly.
4	MS. RAJESWARI: All right. That's fine.
5	THE COURT: We won't bother with that.
6	MR. LAMB: What do we ask him? I am sure
7	you guys are going to challenge him. There is not
8	much I am going to say. He's already served my
9	purpose.
10	THE COURT: We'll wait till we if get
11	over here for challenges purposes and see what you
12	want to do.
13	Mr. Palazzolo, could you step over? You
14	can come right across here. Be careful of the
15	stairs.
16	(Mr. Palazzolo approached.)
17	THE COURT: What were we going to talk
18	about?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: One of my very good
20	friends three or four months ago was charged with
21	rape with a girl who he slept with who is denying
22	it. He slept in my living room and I am probably
23	going to be called as a witness because the first
24	time it happened in my living room and she was the
25	one who initiated. The second time he came right

1	to my apartment after the fact. She actually
2	accused him of rape. Only reason she accused him
3	was because her boyfriend walked in. So instead
4	of admitting she was cheating, she basically
5	called rape and got the police involved and
6	everything.
7	THE COURT: Well, what do you think?
8	Could you be a fair juror in this case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
10	MS. RAJESWARI: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Any questions?
12	MS. RAJESWARI: No.
13	MR. LAMB: No.
14	THE COURT: Thank you. I will let you go
15	back to your seat.
16	Mr. Nochella, could you step over please?
17	(Mr. Nochella approached.)
18	THE COURT: This is Mr. Nochella.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I am
20	embarrassed to say at 17 I was arrested for sexual
21	abuse charge. Matter of wrong place, wrong time.
22	THE COURT: How did it end up?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Community service.
24	THE COURT: Okay. It was not a felony
25	conviction?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Well, what do you think? Is
3	that going to it doesn't disqualify you.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely will
5	affect because I know I didn't do anything but yet
6	still got consequences.
7	THE COURT: So you are saying or are you
8	saying that because of that you don't think you
9	could be a fair or impartial juror in this case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Counsels, any questions?
12	MR. LAMB: No.
13	MS. RAJESWARI: No.
14	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. I will let
15	you resume your seat.
16	Mr. Agnello, could you step over please?
17	I guess the best way would be to your left and
18	come around.
19	(Mr. Agnello approached.)
20	THE COURT: Okay. This is Mr. Agnello.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My cousin was beaten
22	up by his girlfriend's brothers.
23	THE COURT: I see.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He died. It was
25	about ten years ago. Also myself was in an
ı	

1	abusive relationship.
2	THE COURT: That's finished now?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: With my ex-wife.
4	THE COURT: What do you think? Anything
5	about these experiences that would have any
6	bearing here in your mind in terms of your ability
7	to be a fair juror?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think it would
9	possibly. You know could.
10	THE COURT: Might affect your ability to
11	be fair?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Possibly.
13	THE COURT: Counsellors, any questions?
14	MR. LAMB: No.
15	MR. KATCHEN: No.
16	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
17	Ms. Caleca, could you step over?
18	(Ms. Caleca approached.)
19	THE COURT: This is Ms. Caleca.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Both my
21	grandmothers were raped by their husband and
22	beaten by their husband. My sister was raped by a
23	date so I don't ever think I could be fair to this
24	man.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Counsellors, any
- 1	i de la companya de

1	questions?
2	MR. KATCHEN: No.
3	MR. LAMB: No.
4	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Caleca.
5	And Ms. Huertas and Ms. Perry, if you
6	want to work your way out of there, we'll talk to
7	you one at a time.
8	(Ms. Perry approached.)
9	THE COURT: This is Ms. Perry.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My husband pled
11	guilty to DWI. This is two years ago in May.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that
13	that's going to have any bearing here?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He pled guilty but I
15	think the judge, police officers were fine,
16	district attorneys were fine, but the judge was
17	very cavalier in the sentence, criminalized the
18	issue.
19	THE COURT: This was in criminal court?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Targee Street?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23	THE COURT: I would really love to ask
24	who the judge is.
25	MS. RAJESWARI: I think you can guess.

1	THE COURT: I can make a reasonable
2	guess.
3	Would this experience prevent you from
4	being fair in this case or could you be fair in
5	this case?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I think I would
7	be fine. I'm okay.
8	THE COURT: That wouldn't affect your
9	ability to be a juror in this case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: Counsels, any questions?
12	MR. KATCHEN: Yes.
13	MR. LAMB: I don't know if you want to
14	follow-up with other questions or just keep on
15	that one subject.
16	MR. KATCHEN: About the subject. You
17	said that you felt the police officers and
18	prosecution was fine in that case. It's what the
19	judge did that bothered you.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. Partially this
21	is also a personal issue. I mean when went into
22	treatment agreed to have the thing on his car.
23	That was car he was driving because he was in
24	sales. Okay. They didn't have the ability to put
25	the thing on the car at the time but he didn't
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	care, whatever you do fine. He lost his job over
2	that. So I mean just listen it was slam, bam,
3	good-by, do it, take it.
4	MR. KATCHEN: Did going through that did
5	that shake your view of criminal justice system as
6	a result of that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have been in a lot
8	of arbitrations and hearing both sides of
9	arguments on a lot of things. I think I can keep
10	a straight mind.
11	THE COURT: Anything else?
12	MR. LAMB: I'm sorry. Just I didn't hear
13	before. I am just asking you said you worked for
14	lawyers?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, no. I was a
16	union officer.
17	MS. RAJESWARI: Retired teacher.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And retired as being
19	a union officer.
20	THE COURT: Thanks, Ms. Perry. I will
21	ask you to return to your seat.
22	Ms. Huertas.
23	(Ms. Huertas approached.)
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know if I
25	would be a fair juror because my niece I'm

1	sorry were abused by my brother-in-law and my two
2	younger sisters. He was very cruel to my sister
3	and she couldn't do anything about it so they
4	suffered a lot.
5	THE COURT: Is it your feeling you could
6	not be a fair juror in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know. I
8	don't think so because my sister suffered a lot of
9	abuse toward her.
10	THE COURT: All right. Counsellors, any
11	questions?
12	MR. LAMB: No, no questions.
13	MS. RAJESWARI: No.
14	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
15	(The following took place in open court:)
16	MR. KATCHEN: Ready.
17	THE COURT: Go right ahead.
18	MR. KATCHEN: Ladies and gentlemen of the
19	jury, you have heard everybody speak already.
20	It's almost over. I am not going to go through
21	the whole preliminary instructions again. I
22	assume most of you who were in the audience were
23	at least listening to the beginning of what both
24	sides were saying.
25	Is there anything actually there is
J.	

one thing I do want to start with.

Both sides have come up here and asked questions, okay. We haven't given any of the real details of this case to you, okay. What we're trying to find out is who could go into this case before hearing any of the facts and have an open mind. So when you hear a witness testify about X, Y or Z we want to know if you're going to have, you know, strong opinions before you hear from that witness, that you are not — whatever they say, you're going to think that can't be true, I don't believe it. That's what this is about. And that's because the trial portion of this is for the evidence to be presented.

So you haven't heard anything and I am just asking that if you are selected for the jury please keep an open mind. All of the evidence will be presented to you.

Now is that a problem for anybody? Does everybody agree that no evidence has been presented to you? Yes, you know what the charges are. But you don't know what evidence supports those charges. Everybody agrees with that, right?

Okay. Ms. Ortiz, I am going to start with you, and I'm sorry to ask this of you. I am

1	sure it's personal. You had mentioned that I
2	believe you said your sister was a victim of
3	domestic violence.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	MR. KATCHEN: No arrests were made as a
6	result of that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	MR. KATCHEN: Why was that?
9	THE COURT: We don't need to get into
10	that.
11	MR. KATCHEN: Do you know if she reported
12	the domestic violence?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She stayed for many
14	reasons and she didn't report it.
15	MR. KATCHEN: Mr. Taylor, I want to come
16	back to you for a second. One of the important
17	functions of the jury, sole purpose of the jury,
18	is to listen to all the evidence and make a
19	determination of guilty not guilty, based on that
20	evidence, everything that comes from that witness
21	stand.
22	We're human beings. I understand that
23	there is only so much that you could leave at the
24	door. But if you don't feel that you are going to
25	be able to get out of your mind that I didn't want

1 tot think about what's going to happen to this 2 person if I vote quilty. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That wasn't my 3 I was just trying to understand the concern. 5 judge's instructions not considering what would 6 happen if I don't consider that. You know, it's 7 hard not to do that because this is anywhere from community service to at one time the death 8 9 penalty. To say me being opposed to that penalty sentencing to anything including murder, rape, for 10 11 the state to turn around and say, you are going to 12 be put to death, I don't think that's right. 13 If I understand the judge correctly if I 14 am not supposed to consider whatever the penalty 15 will be, can I render a judgment of quilty or not 16 quilty verdict and not consider what the penalty 17 may be. I have an issue with that. Even though I 18 know the law says that the death penalty didn't 19 apply in this case. 20 MR. KATCHEN: I agree with you with that. 21 THE COURT: I didn't hear you said you 22 have an issue with that. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If you're saying that 24 I cannot consider what a person would be penalized 25 knowing that -- it's complicated because I do

1 consider it. I have to consider it because I 2 But if you're saying can I render a verdict 3 I don't know. yes. THE COURT: Okav. 4 5 MR. KATCHEN: Now did everybody hear him? 6 Does anybody agree with Mr. Taylor that they 7 wouldn't be able to really put aside the 8 sentencing aspect of a case if they were deciding 9 whether or not somebody was quilty? Anybody agree with that? 10 11 You do, Mr. Finger? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I think I 13 already said that and I think what I'm questioning 14 is my very limited understanding of this case. 15 my mind is this a rape case, you know, or is this 16 a domestic violence case or assault case? 17 But in my mind I associate rape with the 18 violence more against a stranger and that maybe a 19 very rough sex, bad relationship, dysfunctional 20 thing maybe there is a penalty whatever. 21 MR. KATCHEN: Mr. Finger, if I may, if 22 you need an opportunity to speak I am just going 23 to go back to what I said when I started. 24 some of our questions are a little pointed. 25 you can agree you've heard no evidence.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No evidence, that's
2	right.
3	MR. KATCHEN: Okay. That's just my
4	point.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Um-hum.
6	MR. KATCHEN: I appreciate your opinion,
7	okay, but I've heard your opinion on it.
8	Does anybody disagree with that? Does
9	anybody think that we have to say that there is a
10	difference between a woman being raped in a
11	relationship and a woman being raped by a
12	stranger?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No difference at all,
14	non-consensual at all. She is raped, she is
15	raped. By a stranger, by her husband, by anybody,
16	she is raped. Whether she says when she says
17	no, it's no. But rapists don't believe no is no.
18	THE COURT: Move it along, Mr. Katchen.
19	MR. KATCHEN: Ma'am, I'm sorry. I wasn't
20	going to try to pronounce your name. When you
21	were speaking earlier did you say your company had
22	been raided by people or the FBI?
23	THE COURT: She said the FBI.
24	MR. KATCHEN: I am just trying to
25	clarify.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Zakrzewski. Yes.
2	MR. KATCHEN: As far as you know that
3	investigation is still spending.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's still very much
5	ongoing.
6	MR. KATCHEN: Were you there when that
7	happened?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
9	MR. KATCHEN: I imagine there is a memory
10	of that.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, having people
12	come into your office with guns.
13	MR. KATCHEN: There is no FBI that are
14	going to be testifying in this case. Did that
15	create a negative feeling toward law enforcement
16	for you?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Little
18	apprehensive maybe but not negative.
19	MR. KATCHEN: I'm sorry?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Apprehensive maybe,
21	but not negative.
22	MR. KATCHEN: Do you view law enforcement
23	differently?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was scary.
25	MR. KATCHEN: I understand that. I

1	understand that.
2	Ms. Keating, how long did you say you
3	were a police officer?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Almost six years.
5	MR. KATCHEN: And a police officer is
6	just a human being, right?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	MR. KATCHEN: If they were to testify you
9	would assess their credibility just like you would
10	any other witness?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12	MR. KATCHEN: Okay. I am not going to
13	take anymore of your time. Thank you very much
14	for paying attention over the past two days.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Lamb.
16	MR. LAMB: Good afternoon, ladies and
17	gentlemen. It's getting late. I guess, I am sure
18	everybody is getting tired. Some people are
19	getting a little cranky.
20	THE COURT: Who are you talking about?
21	MR. LAMB: I just want to make one point
22	clear here. Nobody, the defendant, myself are not
23	here asking you to condone rape in any shape,
24	fashion or form. We're not asking you to
25	distinguish between rape by a stranger and rape by

1	a person who knows the victim.
2	We're saying by the defendant's plea of
3	not guilty that he didn't commit the crime that he
4	is charged with.
5	MR. KATCHEN: Objection.
6	THE COURT: Sustained as to the form of
7	that. It's clear your client has pled guilty.
8	We're in the midst of selecting a trial jury.
9	MR. LAMB: I just want everybody to be
10	aware of that.
11	Now because of the fact that as I think
12	it's become clear the charges have arisen out of a
13	relationship as opposed to an incident where there
14	are where the traditional concept that people
15	have of a rape, of a person jumping out of the
16	bushes and dragging somebody into the bushes, or
17	going down to the playground molesting children or
18	something.
19	Because of the fact this arises out of a
20	relationship and you will hear and I think the
21	people will agree a relationship dysfunctional
22	relationship, many of us have had relationships.
23	Most of us have had relationships.
24	MR. KATCHEN: Objection, Judge.
25	THE COURT: That's all right.

And my concern -- my only MR. LAMB: concern is that whether any of you have had a relationship, such a relationship, such a painful, traumatic relationship that you won't be able to be fair, that you wouldn't be able to listen to the evidence here but would rather to be thinking of this horrible relationship that you had or perhaps you were victimized yourself, all right, to the point where you wouldn't be able to listen to the evidence and give my client a fair trial. Is there anybody that quite candidly has had that kind of relationship where it feels it has so poisoned their mind they couldn't be fair

in this case? Anybody here that has experienced that?

Is there anybody here and I broach this question with great temerity, is there anybody here that will insist even though the judge has told you that in a criminal case you cannot hold it against the defendant if he chooses not to testify, is there anybody here that would defy that and still demand that either the defendant testify or he will be convicted? Anybody here that has that feeling?

Yes, Mr. Shu.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think how to tell story very much. I am generous, same story. This could be a very tough story. A very bad story so people call very easily you be prejudice by first impressions. So it depend how you present the evidence.

MR. LAMB: I'm sorry. So are you saying that you would insist that the defendant testify even though the judge tells you that you shouldn't

hold it against him if he doesn't?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am not very sure just because if they start telling me give very good impression. This could affect the people's decision. I'm sorry.

MR. LAMB: I will pass on to the next question.

The way this trial works as with every trial is that first the district attorney presents his side of the case. Then the defense presents their side of the case. Then the attorneys deliver what's known as summations or speeches to you trying to tell you what we feel the evidence is and then the judge instructs you as to the law of the case. And until all of that is done you are not supposed to have made a final decision.

Colloquy 255

That's a -- that would be a difficult thing.

It can be a difficult thing if you hear something from the witness stand that is unchallenged, sounds very damning, do I have your assurance though if you're selected as a juror that you will be patient and you will hold back any notions of guilt or innocence until all of the evidence is in before you make a decision?

Sometimes that's a difficult thing to to. I have to ask you for your assurance that you can do that in order for me to be satisfied that you could be a fair juror.

Do I have that assurance from all of you that you will hold that -- withhold your final decision as to guilt or innocence until you've heard all the evidence and the law that the judge will give you at end of the case? Do I have that assurance from each and every one of you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Caleca. No.

THE COURT: Do I have your assurance if you are selected as a juror? Do I have your assurance from each and every one of you that you would give my client the same fair trial that you would want if you were sitting where he is sitting right now?

1	Can you all assure me of that? Can you
2	all assure me of that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	THE COURT: If you would like to step out
5	go right ahead. Just don't discuss the case with
6	each other or anyone else. Thank you for your
7	patience.
8	(Short recess taken.)
9	(The following took place at the
10	sidebar:)
11	THE COURT: We're at the sidebar with the
12	lawyers and the defendant. I guess we'll go one
13	at a time again.
14	THE CLERK: We have five sworn.
15	THE COURT: Ms. Ortiz. Cause People?
16	MR. KATCHEN: No.
17	THE COURT: Defense?
18	MR. LAMB: She said she wasn't sure she
19	could be fair.
20	THE COURT: I agree with you so I
21	challenge her for cause.
22	People want to be heard?
23	MS. RAJESWARI: No, we'll consent.
24	THE COURT: That's a consent.
25	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
	i de la companya de

THE COURT: Cause on consent.
Next is Mr. Palazzolo. Cause People?
MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
MR. LAMB: Yes, consent.
THE COURT: Consent. For cause on
consent.
Next is Mr. Nochella. Cause?
MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
MR. LAMB: On consent.
THE COURT: For cause on consent.
Next is Mr. Nelson. Cause People?
MS. RAJESWARI: No.
THE COURT: No cause for the defense?
MR. LAMB: No.
THE COURT: Peremptory People?
MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
THE COURT: Next is Ms. Zakrzewski.
People cause?
MS. RAJESWARI: Your Honor, yes, for
cause. She indicated that she couldn't treat law
enforcement as she would other witnesses due to
her history.
MR. LAMB: I don't remember her saying
that she souldn't be foir the amount that it
that she couldn't be fair. She pressed that it

1	think she ended up by saying she could be fair.
2	THE COURT: It is my recollection she
3	said she was apprehensive.
4	MS. RAJESWARI: She was scared.
5	THE COURT: I don't think she said enough
6	to disqualify her for cause. I will deny that
7	challenge.
8	You have no cause challenge?
9	MR. LAMB: Not for her.
10	THE COURT: Peremptory?
11	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Next is Mr. Aymil. Cause
13	People?
14	MS. RAJESWARI: No.
15	MR. KATCHEN: No.
16	THE COURT: Defense?
17	MR. LAMB: I would just say she's already
18	sat in a rape murder trial.
19	THE COURT: I guess that's not enough of
20	I don't think that disqualifies her. That's
21	not a cause challenge.
22	MR. LAMB: No.
23	THE COURT: Peremptory People?
24	MS. RAJESWARI: No.
25	THE COURT: Defense?
I	,

1	MR. LAMB: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Next Nieves. Cause People?
3	MR. KATCHEN: No.
4	THE COURT: Defense?
5	MR. LAMB: No.
6	THE COURT: Peremptory People?
7	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Agnello. Cause People?
9	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Lamb, he's the guy who
11	came over on the sidebar.
12	MR. LAMB: I know. I have to consent in
13	all fairness.
14	THE COURT: Cause on consent.
15	Next is Mr. Mirabella. Cause People?
16	MR. KATCHEN: No.
17	MR. LAMB: No.
18	THE COURT: Peremptory People?
19	MR. KATCHEN: No.
20	THE COURT: Defense?
21	MR. LAMB: No.
22	THE COURT: Number 6, Carmine.
23	THE CLERK: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Mr. McCarter. Cause People?
25	MR. KATCHEN: No.

1	THE COURT: Defense?
2	MR. LAMB: No.
3	THE COURT: Peremptory People?
4	MR. KATCHEN: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Next is Mr. Philip. Cause
6	People?
7	MR. KATCHEN: Yes. He is the one who
8	said he doesn't like or trust cops.
9	MR. LAMB: Like or trust cops.
10	THE COURT: You concur.
11	MR. LAMB: Yes, I have to consent.
12	THE COURT: Next is Mr. Finger.
13	MR. KATCHEN: Yes.
14	MR. LAMB: I have to have one ringer in
15	there.
16	THE COURT: Ringer?
17	MR. LAMB: I have to consent.
18	THE COURT: For cause on consent.
19	MR. LAMB: He served his purpose.
20	THE COURT: Next is Ms. Keating. Cause
21	People?
22	MR. KATCHEN: No.
23	THE COURT: Defense?
24	MR. LAMB: Yes. She said she was not
25	sure that she could be fair.

1	MR. KATCHEN: She said when I questioned
2	her that she could treat a police officer just
3	like any other witness.
4	MR. LAMB: But she said at one point she
5	said she wasn't sure.
6	THE COURT: I wrote down cause and then
7	police testimony.
8	MR. KATCHEN: She definitely came back
9	with my questioning. I believe she is
10	rehabilitated.
11	THE COURT: I don't think so. I will
12	grant the cause challenge by the defense.
13	Ms. Huertas. Cause People?
14	MR. KATCHEN: Yes.
15	MR. LAMB: Consent.
16	THE COURT: Cause on consent.
17	Next is Ms. Perry. Cause People?
18	MR. KATCHEN: Yes. She was pretty clear
19	from the way she was speaking that she had an
20	issue with a judge involved in her husband's case.
21	It didn't seem she was able to sort of tear
22	herself away in that incident.
23	THE COURT: Are you reading her mind?
24	You heard what she said.
25	MR. KATCHEN: I am going also by her tone
i	

1	and her language when she discussed it.
2	MR. LAMB: I think her issue was with the
3	judge, not with the prosecution in fairness
4	regarding evidence. I think she concluded that
5	she could be fair.
6	THE COURT: That's what she said. I
7	can't really discredit her in that regard. That
8	cause challenge is denied.
9	You don't have a cause challenge, do you?
10	MR. LAMB: No.
11	THE COURT: Peremptory People?
12	MR. KATCHEN: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Next is Mr. Shu. Cause
14	People?
15	MR. KATCHEN: No.
16	THE COURT: Defense?
17	MR. LAMB: I don't think I don't think
18	he fully understands what's going on.
19	THE COURT: I think he understands
20	perfectly but I think he has trouble speaking. I
21	couldn't tell an awful lot of what he was saying.
22	I think he understands.
23	MR. LAMB: I was trying to listen
24	carefully.
25	MS. RAJESWARI: Judge, he did speak with

1	a heavy accent.
2	MR. LAMB: Is it possible you could ask
3	him over at the sidebar whether he has a problem
4	with the language?
5	THE COURT: Could we have Shu step over?
6	(Mr. Shu approached.)
7	THE COURT: Hi, Mr. Shu. The reason we
8	called you over we want to make sure. I know
9	English is not your first language. Have you been
10	able to understand everything we've said?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am engineering
12	major, English not my native language. I came
13	here 29 years old and I work on that's very
14	general English, yeah.
15	MR. LAMB: General English.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Working on at school.
17	This is my problem, yeah. I know that.
18	THE COURT: Thanks. Any questions? I
19	will let you resume your seat.
20	Anybody want to be heard further?
21	MR. LAMB: I think
22	THE COURT: You are making a cause
23	challenge?
24	MR. LAMB: Yes.
25	MS. RAJESWARI: We will consent.

1	THE COURT: For cause on consent.
2	Now we go to the first row.
3	Mr. Sharkey. Cause People?
4	MR. KATCHEN: No.
5	THE COURT: Defense?
6	MR. LAMB: No, not for cause.
7	THE COURT: Peremptory People?
8	MR. KATCHEN: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Next is Stoyko. Cause
10	People?
11	MR. KATCHEN: No.
12	THE COURT: Defense?
13	MR. LAMB: No.
14	THE COURT: Peremptory People?
15	MR. KATCHEN: No.
16	THE COURT: Defense?
17	MR. LAMB: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Caleca. Cause People?
19	MR. KATCHEN: No.
20	THE COURT: Defense?
21	MR. LAMB: Yes. She said numerous
22	occasions I think three different issues she said
23	she couldn't be fair.
24	THE COURT: People consent?
25	MR. KATCHEN: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Cause on consent.
2	Sclafani.
3	MR. KATCHEN: No cause.
4	MS. RAJESWARI: Not for us.
5	MR. LAMB: I think he said repeatedly
6	THE COURT: Mr. Sclafani?
7	MR. LAMB: Yes. He said he would give a
8	cop more credibility.
9	THE COURT: He did. Cause challenge is
10	granted by the defendant.
11	Mr. Taylor. Cause People?
12	MR. KATCHEN: Yes.
13	MR. LAMB: Reluctantly consent.
14	THE COURT: For cause on consent.
15	Last is Mr. Lewis. Cause People?
16	MR. KATCHEN: No.
17	THE COURT: Defense?
18	MR. LAMB: No.
19	THE COURT: Peremptory People?
20	MR. KATCHEN: No.
21	THE COURT: Defense?
22	MR. LAMB: No.
23	THE COURT: So Carmine, that's Number 7.
24	THE CLERK: Yes, Judge.
25	THE COURT: What do we have? Fifty?

1	THE CLERK: She would try and get you
2	fifty at least. She is very concerned that
3	tomorrow is a Jewish holiday.
4	MS. RAJESWARI: And today actually.
5	THE COURT: You want me to tell these
6	people noon as well?
7	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes. The two jurors as
8	well?
9	MR. LAMB: Yes.
10	THE COURT: I can make it two and call
11	the others.
12	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
13	MR. LAMB: I think in all fairness to
14	them.
15	MR. KATCHEN: That would be fine.
16	THE COURT: Make it two. Where do we
17	stand on challenges, Carmine?
18	THE CLERK: People have used nine.
19	Defense has used eight.
20	(The following took place in open court:)
21	THE CLERK: The following jurors have
22	been selected for this case. Robert Mirabella,
23	you are Juror Number 6. Ronald Lewis, you are
24	Juror Number 7. Will those two gentleman remain
25	seated?

<b>i</b>
Everyone else is excused from this case
with the thanks of the Court. Return across the
street to central jury for your next trial. Thank
you.
THE COURT: Thank you.
(Unselected prospective jurors left the
courtroom.)
THE CLERK: Mr. Mirabella, raise your
hand. Mr. Lewis, raise your hand. Stand up and
raise your right hand.
Do both of you solemnly swear that you
will try this action in a just and impartial
manner and to the best of your judgment render a
verdict according to the law and the evidence so
help you God?
JUROR: I do.
JUROR: I do.
THE COURT: We are going to break for the
afternoon. We are bringing over another group of
people to continue jury selection. I told the
jurors who were sworn earlier today to be back at
noon. We are going to change that to 2 p.m.
Two p.m. tomorrow in the jury room. It's
right across the hall. The officers will show you
where it is on your way out. We are going to call

the other sworn jurors and tell them two. 1 2 JUROR: I thought we had six jurors 3 before me. THE COURT: We ran into a problem with 5 Something unforeseen came up with one of the 6 You become six and you become seven. 7 I feel fairly confident we'll have a jury by 8 lunchtime and be ready to go when you come back. 9 Thank you. Let me just advise you as I 10 must, don't discuss the case with each other or 11 anyone else. Don't speak with anyone prior to 12 being discharged about taking anything in return 13 for supplying information about this case. 14 to me any incident within your knowledge of an 15 attempt by anyone to improperly influence you or 16 any member of the jury. Don't read, view or 17 listen to any media accounts of this case, that 18 includes the Internet. Don't try to research any 19 fact, issue or law on your own and don't form any 20 opinion. Keep an open mind until you begin 21 deliberations. Thank you. See you tomorrow 22 afternoon. The officers will show you the jury 23 room. 24 (Two sworn jurors left the courtroom.) 25 THE COURT: I would like you to all be

1	here promptly at nine. Make it 9:30.
2	MS. RAJESWARI: Yes.
3	MR. LAMB: Judge, I have
4	THE COURT: Come here first.
5	Mr. LAMB: Not Part 6.
6	THE COURT: Come here first.
7	MR. LAMB: All right.
8	THE COURT: I will tell Judge Rienzi he
9	can have you when I'm through. Today we lost an
10	hour. I am not blaming you that you were down in
11	his part but you are on trial. This takes
12	precedence, okay?
13	MR. LAMB: Okay.
14	THE COURT: Good.
15	THE CLERK: Court stands in recess. Step
16	out.
17	(Court stands in recess until Friday,
18	September 10th, 2010 at 9:30 a.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
j.	